

## **Nuclear Warhead Capability Sustainment Programme** AWE Proposal for TP1 for the Implementation of the

**VOLUME 1** 

**ANNEX A** 

Compliance Report

© Crown Copyright (2007)

"This document is of United Kingdom origin and contains proprietary information which is the property of the Secretary of State for Defence. It is furnished in confidence and may not be copied, used or disclosed in whole or in part, without prior written consent of the Director Commercial 2, Defence Procurement Agency, Ash 2b, MailPoint 88, Ministry of Defence, Abbey Wood, Bristol, BS34 8LH, England."

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF HER BRITANNIC MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT, and is issued for the information of such persons only as need to know its content in the course of their official duties. Any person finding this document should hand it to a British Forces unit or to a police station for its safe return to the MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, (DSy (Pol)), MAIN BUILDING, WHITEHALL, LONDON, SW1A 2HB, with particulars of how and where found.

THE UNAUTHORISED RETENTION OR DESTRUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS AN OFFENCE UNDER THE OFFICIAL SECRETS ACTS OF 1911-1989(When released to persons outside Government service, this document is issued on a personal basis and the recipient to whom it is entrusted in confidence, within the provisions of the Official Secrets Acts of 1911-1989, is personally responsible for its safe custody, and for seeing that its contents are disclosed only to authorised persons).

## AMENDMENT RECORD

		Issue 1	Draft 0.03	Amendment Number
		26/04/07	26/04/07	Date Issued
				Date Inserted
				Amended by
	amendments	Minor	Full Revision	Pages Affected

Approved by:	Originated by:
Rob Fletcher	Technical Programme Co-ordinator
Date:	Date:

Head of Corporate Business Planning

Page 1 of 8 **RESTRICTED** 

This compliance matrix has been compiled in response to M Jenkins' letter to the Managing Director of AWE dated 20 February 2007, reference DPA/NW/TL/117/333/338.

Number of Fully Compliant items: 35 Number of Partially Compliant items: 3 Number of non-compliant items: 4

ω	2		_	Item
Confidence modeling should include not only schedule and cost for facility construction, but also for beneficial use (i.e. for increase in production rate/year for respective facilities). This section is to include how peer review and industry standards have been used for building/capital cost estimates for individual projects which will help to provide independent corroboration (I discussed this again recently with Bob Irvine).	The consideration should be addressed in a suitable annex to TP1 (this approach has been recently agreed with DD Strat Tech CSP).	achieved to date in terms of progress on both Indent and Successor capability growth with a supporting breakdown of costs. This will enable identification of the capability benefit versus cost for NWCSP for the investment made in the period up to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2007, and the likely overall benefit for the currently prices three year period, ending March 2008. In summary, what I wish to achieve in this is to provide MoD with a clear statement of what has been achieved for the £2Bn investment in the first 3 years	A section articulating clearly, in tabular form, what has been	Point raised
Confidence modelling on schedule and cost for facility construction are included in Annexes M and N and has taken account of the increase in production rate/year. A description of peer review and industry standards used for estimates is included in Annex N.	Volume 1 Annex D addresses the issue	achievements (in tabular form) against key programme strands. Costs (in tabular form) are identified against key programme activities (eg facilities, Orion, material & manpower). The narrative link this section to the Capability Curve.  This information has previously been supplied to MoD in presentations	A section in Volume 1 AWE	Location of data or information
Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Compliant	Fully	Compliance

1	10	9	ω	7	6	Δı	4	Item
Sight of full MDAL – not just changes.	Requirements to be linked to full MDAL – traceability between activities and requirements.	The commercial section should be removed and addressed separately with me. I would expect this to align with the Heads of Agreement which we have agreed as a without prejudice basis for the negotiation on the next phase of any contract with AWE ML.	An outline of how illustrative savings measures and programme impact statements (including 10% to 50% confidence levels) might be expressed should be included in anticipation of future MoD budgeting/savings rounds.	TP1 should included proposals for full or partial demolition of All GFX funding for Mk4A, PALD/PAM and NIF shots should also be included in your submission – we can advise you separately on transfer of IPT GFX provision and contract control total treatment.	As discussed before, you must address the FY 08/09 (CY 9) issues highlighted last August, to meet the NWCSP cost parameters defined in Reference B.	The impact of pursuing and any additional costs to the agreed programme should be identified in general terms, together with an indication of how this might be managed.	The Capability Curves should be updated and linked to the WBS. There are indications that the Trident curve is in need of update to reflect key production milestones and Mk4A events as they relate to the current SSBN programme.	+
Full MDAL included in documentation suite – see Volume 2 Annex K	Requirements linkage exists within the DOORS database.	Volume 2 is the separate Commercial Section.	Specific savings are not included in the TP1 submission. However, AWE is proposing that this be addressed when more informed decisions can be made.	All included in Annex L Finance pack	Good progress has been made in improving the CY9 affordability however, the programme as presented does not yet meet the MoD Short Term Programme.	Section in Volume 1 AWE Programme Plan.	The Capability Curve has been updated against the new WBS and reflects the new production milestones. The capability curve is constructed against the Trident which takes the Mk4A programme into account.	Location of data or information
Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Non- Compliant	Fully Compliant	Non Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Compliance

22	21	20	19	18		17	16	15	14	13	12	Item
Linkage with CSSE requirements for SSTP.	Methodology for changing programme outputs for potential future work and linkage with MoD/SOG assumptions around warhead down-select IG.	Identify EVM elements – noting that Orion is no longer an EVM pilot.	Include relationship and implications of outputs.	Include assumptions.		Include Mk4A programme.	Explicitly identify any proposed future programme exclusions.	and readiness elements.	Include a mapping matrix from new WBS to previous structures.	Linkage of facilities to SRD and URD.	Link to Customer assumptions from September 2006 SRD proposal.	Point raised
Programme is linked to the SRD, which is derived from URD which in turn is believed to be linked back to other MoD requirements.	Section in Volume 1 AWE Programme Plan refers to an and Initial and Main Gate requirements.	This has already been addressed in the Heads of Agreement.	Addressed in Volume 1 AWE Programme Plan, Programme Rationale	Included in the	incorporated. Work to fully configure the changes will be part of normal business.	The revised Mk4A programme agreed with MoD at the end of March has been	Addressed in Volume 1 AWE Programme Plan.	Addressed in Volume 1 Annex E.	Provided as Volume 1 Annex F.	Requirements linkage exists within the DOORS database.	TP1 proposal is in response to SRD v2.1 dated October 2006.	Location of data or information
Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant		Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Compliance

31	8	20	29	ļ	8	!	27	26	25	24	ltem 23
Include 3PE evidence as part of confidence level evidence.		Demonstrate value for money	Include	assumptions.	Identify notential future programme elements based on MoD/SOG		Identify level of programme commitment.	Identify cost profiles for all programme elements.	Identify beneficial use hits.	Identify funding.	Point raised Ensure linkage with AWE Technical Strategy.
3PE analysis included as Volume 2 Annexes M & N.	Programme Plan regarding AWE and AWE ML contributions to value for money initiatives.	Narrative included in Volume 1 AWE	Assumption is	Plan refers to and Main Gate requirements.	Section in Volume 1 AVVE Programme	this post TP1 delivery along side	AWE will work with MoD to develop	Included in Annex L Finance Pack	Included in Annex L Finance Pack	Included in Annex L Finance Pack	Location of data or information Technical Strategy has been developed in conjunction with TP1 and is consistent. AWE & MoD have a joint SRD verification approach. An updated SRD Compliance Report has been issued with TP1. AWE will work with MoD to identify any further assurances required
Fully Compliant	Compliant	Filly	Fully	Compliant	Π <sub>1</sub> -llγ	compliant	Non	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Compliance Fully Compliant

38	37	36	35	34	မ မ	32	Item
report: Process seen as inflexible with gaps in coverage.	report: Bi directional traceability between activities and requirements.	Identify manpower head count and achievement of required skills.	Identify reliance on	Identify high level programme risk.	Identify how the capability has changed with time (prior to NWCSP to date, original and current capability goals – suggest use of octopus diagram).	3PE to be linked to the capability curves.	Point raised
See letter from J Smith to M Jenkins dated 31 October 2006 entitled "AWE Supplier Capability Appraisal, Phase 2" – paragraphs 4a and 4b deal with process capability.	AWE are in the process of creating this linkage within the AWE Business Management System, where the elements of the new WBS will have the relevant DOORS requirement referenced.	Included in Volume 1 Annex I.	Volume 1 Annex C sets out issues. Volume 2 Annex K Master Data and Assumptions List includes key	Included in Volume 2 Annex O Risk registers	Capability demonstrated through achievements and Capability Curve. AWE will work with MoD to identify alternative capability reporting mechanisms that are sustainable through the recently introduced AWE Business Management System.	AWE have committed to work with MoD to develop an approach that best represents risk and capability development	Location of data or information
Fully Compliant	Partially Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Partially Compliant	Non compliant	Compliance

42	4	40	39	Item
report: Systems engineering (thinking) improvements.	report: Interfaces between organisational elements not well understood or defined.	report: Delay between informal and formal warrant timescales with suppliers.	report: Rules are sometimes applied inappropriately.	Point raised
AWE has briefed MoD on its developing approach for systems engineering and systems integration. This forms part of the organisational and process development activities.	See letter from J Smith to M Jenkins dated 31 October 2006 entitled "AWE Supplier Capability Appraisal, Phase 2" – paragraph 5a deals with undefined internal interfaces.	See letter from J Smith to M Jenkins dated 31 October 2006 entitled "AWE Supplier Capability Appraisal, Phase 2" – paragraph 5c deals with difficulties with procurement and "warrants".	See letter from J Smith to M Jenkins dated 31 October 2006 entitled "AWE Supplier Capability Appraisal, Phase 2" – paragraph 5f deals with inappropriate adherence to rules.	Location of data or information
Partially Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Fully Compliant	Compliance

## DISTRIBUTION

Don Cook	Jason Smith		Nick Bennett										Martin Jenkins	Name:
AWE	AWE	DG Strat Tech	DG Strat Tech	NW IPT	Org. Area:									
Aldermaston Aldermaston	Aldermaston	Main Building	Main Building	Abbey Wood	Building/Site:									
015 &017	014	013	012	011	010	009	008	007	006	005	004	002 & 003	001	Copy No: