RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

MET

71:

SOURCE

TYPE

PAGE

PARA

LINE

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

COMMENT

cc312fc.doc 0.0ITEN # Ļ 4 Ņ USJFCOM STRATCOM STRATCOM USA SOURCE 13 S 20 20 Z TYPE Z 0.00 0 0 0 0 PAGE PARA LINE place in US nuclear doctrine? Is there another joint pub that addresses DCA assets and their different than other theaters e.g., PACOM, CENTCOM. addressed. USSTRATCOM's C2 support role in that theater is include all US nuclear assets in the Joint Pub. Also, the unique Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE) and US is not adequately European theater relationship between Supreme Headquarters Numerous references are cited below to help stress the need to however the publication does not address these vital assets. employment support to geographic combatant commanders. maintain various readiness levels to provide nuclear squadrons (ACC and USAFE) of dual capable aircraft (DCA) assets to include in US nuclear doctrine. Several US fighter nuclear assets and gives the impression that these are the only Pg 2.08, lines 28 - 30, this pub addresses only "strategic" General comment: Other than the Glossary and a comment on Destruction. and define the first instance of WMD as Weapons of Mass General: Change all instances of CBRN and CBRNE to WMD Change all instances of CBRN or CBRNE to WMD. radiological, or nuclear (CBRN). throughout the publication rather than chemical, biological, Wcapons of mass destruction (WMD) should be utilized nuclear) should be replaced by "WMD" (Weapons of mass destruction) throughout the document. COMMENT The term "CBRN" (chemical, biological, radiological, or Per conversation with the Joint Staff, JP 3-12 and 3-Per discussion with JS. 12.1 will use WMD vs. Destruction. Combat Weapons of Mass National Strategy to the December 2002 of the United States and in the September 2002 National Security Strategy WMD is used and defined Combat Weapons of Mass uses the term WMD. Destruction defines and National Strategy to the December 2002 of the United States and National Security Strategy RATIONALE The September 2002 relationship. EUCOM's clarification has unique been made for Additionally disadvantages. advantages and DCA), their (including theater assets has been adde that addresses into chapter A - A section comments will WMD will be change these. Individual discussion with A - After CBRN. used vice CBRN. the Joint Staff change these. comments will WMD will be discussion with Individual the Joint Staff used vice A - After DECISION

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

ITEM 44: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

[2]	E	10	.9	0.0ITEN#
			2.	非
USARPAC G3 Plans (USA)	USARPAQ G3 Plans (USA)	USARPAC G3 Plans (USA)	USJFCOM	SOURCE
D	A.C		S	TYPE
D.V.	0.viii	0.v	0.00	PAGE
944	153	102		PARA
	liabi	DSGC		LINE
Change to read as follows: "Post-wartime considerations are war termination, termination strategy, consequence management (CM), and reserve forces.	Change to read as follows: "Defensive forces can directly support offensive forces in five important areas: strategic Strategic application, regional conflicts, synergistic application, early warning forces, force protection (FP), and air defense.	Change to read as follows: "To provide the desired capabilities, nuclear forces must be diverse, flexible, effective, survivable, enduring, secure, and responsive	General comment: This publication provides guidelines for the employment of nuclear operations. Although unclassified, this publication could possibly benefit a potential adversary. As such, recommend designating this publication as DoD Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information UCNI.	COMMENT
Consequence management is a major management is a major mission for post-nuclear conflict scenarios in USPACOM.	Securing the nuclear forces from ground based threats is critical. Additionally, capitalize the first letter of the first word after the colon.	The security of nuclear forces must be addressed when talking about their characteristics.		RATIONALE
A - These are comments from the current 3-12. Will be incorporated into the new executive	A - These are comments from the current 3-12. Will be incorporated into the new executive	A These are comments from the current 3-12. Will be incorporated into the new executive	appropriate for appropriate for DoD UCNI. DODD 5210.83 described DoD UNCI as a classification to limit info on physical protection of DoD special nuclear material, equipment, and facilities. JP 3-12 does not fit.	DECISION (WR/M)

ITEM # SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

			200		
17.	į			13.	0.01TEN
					38:
USAF		USAF	USARPAG G3 Plans (USA)	USMC	SOURCE
3	٥	o o	in in	Α	TYPE
0.01	6	0.00	0.vj	0.iii	PAGE
			₩.	TOC	PARA
3.6	0	28	ā	16	LINE
Change to read This publication provides guidelines for the employment of joint forces in nuclear operations. It provides guidance for employment of both strategic and nonstrategic (theater) nuclear forces, and command and control relationships.—This publication provides guidelines for the joint employment of forces in nuclear operations. It provides guidance for employment of both strategic and nonstrategic	Also need to do a "Find and Replace" throughout the document to change a multitude of "CBRN" to "CBRNE"	Add "High Explosive (CBRNE) after "Nuclear" Also need to do a "Find and Replace" throughout the document to change a multitude of "CBRN" to "CBRNE"	Change to read as follows: "The mitigation efforts of WME effects require planning in advance and warning personnel, partial offsetting of long-term degradation, scope of CM, and appropriate operating procedures.	Change to read: Command Relationships, Command and Control, and Command Responsibilities	COMMENT
Scope of publication as restated in the preface is not IAW the Program Directive. JCS J7 JDETD message DTG 121526Mar 01.	Completeness, CBKN has been changed to "CBRNE" to include high explosives as part of what was formerly "weapons of mass destruction".	CBRN has been changed to CBRNE to include high explosives as part of what was formerly "weapons of mass destruction" Although decision was made after first draft inputs to continue to use CBRN, instead of CBRNE, J7 has released a coordinated program directive (msg 271212 Aug 02) for development of JP 3-41, JTTP for CBRNE CM.	Mitigation of effects of WMD should include how the natural environment, personnel, and infrastructure are treated after a WMD event.	Correct TOC title to match chapter II title.	RATIONALE
3 .	with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation	R—CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation.	A - These ard comments from the current 3-12. Will be incorporated into the new executive summary.	A-	A/R/M)

ITEM 非 SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

A	Consistent with input to move Fig A-1 to Pg I-7	Change to read: A 1 Summary of Applicable US Arms Control Treaties	14		0.04	>	USAF		23
>	Consistent with input to move Fig A-1 to Pg I-7 and renumber as Fig I-2.	Add: I-2 Summary of Applicable US Arms Control Treatics. II-7	7		0.04	>	USAF		23
À	ve was endix A. re A-1 to the t is ug the grand ity and bendix.	Delete Appendix A. Treaty Obligations Reletter all subsequent Appendixes. Move "Summary of Applicable US Arms Control Treaties" on page A-1 to page I-7 and title it, "Figure I-2".	32		0.03	ν	USAF		21
A	Correct to reflect content of associated text.	Change to read as follows: "Command Relationships, Command and Control, and Command Responsibilities"	16	TOC	0.03	٨	J7		20
 		Comment: Too many uses of the word "it;" there are four "its" starting sentences in the paragraph—reword appropriately Change to read, "This publication has been prepared under the direction of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. It sets forth doctrine to govern the joint activities and performance of the Armed Forces of the United States in joint operations and provides the doctrinal basis for US military involvement in multinational and interagency operations. Joint Pub 3-12 H provides military guidance for the exercise of authority by combatant commanders and other joint force commanders (JFCs) and prescribes doctrine for use by the Armed Forces in preparing their appropriate plans. He not The intent of this publication is not to restrict the authority of the JFC from organizing the force and executing the mission in a manner the JFC deems most appropriate to ensure unity of effort in the accomplishment of the overall mission."	11.18		0.01	A	USJFCOM	5.	19
M - Changes in USAF comment above.	Editorial.	Change as follows: "He provides This guidance covers for strategic and theater planning, and comployment of nuclear forces, and command and control relationships."	4.6		0.01	>	USJFCOM	4.	100
		(theater) nuclear forces; command and control relationships; and weapons effect considerations.							
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	*	0.0ITEN #

ITEM 41: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

***************************************	establish only if there is one or more uses in text.	Change to read as follows: The Policy Guidance for the Employment of Nuclear Weapons (NUWEP) is a Secretary of Defense Capabilities Plan (ISCP) Nuclear Supplement	30	5	1.01	≯	J7		29
130	Accuracy	National Security Policy Directive 14 is actually National Security Presidential Directive 14. Replace the word "Policy" with "Presidential"	28		1.01	S	يس <u>ه</u> دن	90	28
M – see USAF comment above	Editorial.		19		1.01	Α	USJFCOM	7. 6.	27.
>	Proposed replacement text is paraphrased from the introduction to current national security strategy (17 Sep 2002). http://www.whitehouse.go	a. Purpose of US Nuclear Forces. The permanent security interest of the United States remains its survival as a free and independent nation, with its fundamental values intact, and its institutions and people secure. The first and fundamental commitment of the Federal Government is defending our Nation against its enemics.	18.20		1.01	လ	USAF		26.
×		Change to read: a. Purpose of United States (US) Nuclear Forces. Add US United States to the glossary.	18	18.	1.01	A	USMC		25.
>	More appropriate for text. Original quote could be misperceived by a reader to infer the emphasis is on reducing the number of nukes when it is really on adjusting our security requirements based on threats and the security environment. The proposed quote is also extracted from the NSS, published 10 months after the press conference quote, and supports the role of doctrine in translating strategy to operational capability.	Delete the quote opening the Chapter: "The aurrent levels of our nuclear forces do not reflect today's strategic realities. I have informed President Putin that the United States will reduce our operationally developed strategic nuclear warheads to a level between 11,700 and 2,200 over the next decade, a level fully consistent with American security." President George W. Bush (Press conference by President Bush and President Vladimit Putin, November 13,2001) "The nature of the Cold War threat required the United States - with our allies and friends to emphasize deterrence of the enemy's use of force, producing a grim strategy of mutual assured destruction. With the collapse of the Soviet Union and the end of the Cold War, our security environment has undergone profound transformation." The National Security Strategy of the United States - September 2002	11.15		1.01	S	USN		24
(A/R/M)	RATIONALE and renumber as Fig I-2.	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	*	0.0ITEN #

DECISION (A/R/M)

as of 04/28/03

ce312fc,doc

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM

46:

	a common objective. Joint doctrine and JTTP								
dramatic effect on the US nuclear posture and needs to be listed by name.	That is what treaties and defense agreements are for. Remember this is not a policy document nor is it a report, it is a doctrinal publication. And according to JP 1-01: The purpose of joint doctrine and TTP is to enhance the operational effectiveness of US forces. Joint doctrine and TTP will not establish policy. Joint doctrine should articulate the fundamental principles that guide the employment of two or more Military Departments in	to conduct a comprehensive review of the US nuclear posture and develop a long-range plan to sustain and modernize US strategio nuclear forces in order to counter emerging threats and satisfy-evolving deterrence requirements. As directed by 4(+) Section 1041 and 1042 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2001 (Public Law 106-398) and (2) Section 1033 of the Fiscal Year 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 107-107) This constituted the first a comprehensive review of nuclear forces since the first NPR was completed in 1994 and 2001, because of the critical role played by US nuclear forces in the national security strategy of the United States and its allies, the report was broader in scope than required by law.			1.02				
R – The 2001	S not	Change as follows: 2001-Nuclear Posture Review (NPR)	40.10		1.01	S	USN	34.	
A	Editorial.	Change as follows: " and Service ochiefs for preparing"	34		1.01	Α	USJFCOM	33. 8.	
A - Same as above	Establishes acronym.	Add "(JSCP)" after " Capabilities Plan".	32		1.01	Α	USJFCOM	32. 7.	
A	Only use of term, so no acronym is used.	Change to read: "of Nuclear Weapons (NUWEP) is a"	30		1.01	S	USMC	31.	
		Delete "NUWEP Policy Guidance for the Employment of Nuclear Weapons" from the glossary.							
A - Same as Above	Acronym was used only once.	Presidential nuclear weapons planning guidance. It provides broad overarching guidance for nuclear weapon planning. The Nuclear Weapons Employment Policy is a Secretary of Defense document that implements Presidential guidance.	30	5	.oı	>	Co.	30.	
	-	39						-	
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.01TEN #	0.017
					Service.				

Mall 7£ SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

0.01TEN #	SOURCE	TYPE	PAGE	PARA	LINE	COMMENT	RATIONALE	DECISION
						= 0	-	(AURUM)
						=	should not include detail	
		311					Comico doctrino standing	
	W						operating procedures	
=	U.						plans, and other	
							publications.	
							Granting that an	
							introduction is required, it	
	2						should however be brief	
	-						and focused on the scope	
							and written in a manner	
							suitable to the target	
							audience.	
							I don't see this discussion	_1
							providing many	
							guidelines for the	
							employment of joint	
							forces, guidance for	
							strategic and theater	
							planning and employment	
							of nuclear forces, nor	L
							relationships	
3,5	STRATCOM	0	3	Photo		Sen-launched should read Submarine-launched (i.e. SI BM) as		A.
				caption		it appears in the abbreviation and acronym portion of the		-
						glossary at the rear of the pub.		
36.	USMC	>	1.02		2	Change to read: "Act for Fiscal Year (FY) 2001"	Acronyms should be	3
			2.0				identified on first use.	
37.	USMC	A	1.02		4	Change to read: "of the Fiseal Year FY 20002"	Acronym previously	A
							identified.	
38.	J7	A	1.02		7	Make this paragraph d.	Correct formatting.	*
39.	USAF	>	1.02		7	Change to read: This The 2001 NPR constituted the first	Paragraph starts with the	1
						comprehensive review of nuclear forces since	words "This	
							constituted" Need to	
							reword with defining	
							what "this" is. Proposed	
	-						change clarifies the	
							reference to the NPR, not	
		 					to the Defense	

as of 04/28/03

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

71:

new triad from the 2001 NPR is just bombers		so, how does DCA fit into US nuclear doctrine? The aircraft leg should include both bombers and fighters.			3	>	TICER		46
					3	2	MODEL	5	À
R – The actual new triad from the 2001 NPR is just bombers	Dual capable aircraft, when outfitted for nuclear operations, are part of the aircraft portion of the triad. Even C-130s with	Modify the "new triad" portion of the figure to show Bombers Aircraft	F1.01		1.03	W	USAF		44
A	Specific action matches	Change to read: "Hes US deployed""	21		1.02	>	USAF		43
≫	Accuracy, especially when using "nonstate actors in same sentence".	Replace "nation" and "nations" with "state" and "states". Change to read "cannot know with confidence what nation with confidence what nation of nations of nations, or nonstate actors"	19	9	1.02	W	USA	ļC	42
l <mark>≫</mark>	Clarity. There has been more than one QDR and during the lifespan of this pub there will be more.	You need to better identify which QDR by sighting the year much like you say the 2001 NPR. It should read Quadrennial Defense Review - XXXX (QDR-XX). Note — This change will need to be completed throughout the remainder of the text. Change to read," Quadrennial Defense Review — 2001 (ODR-2001)' Change all instances of QDR to QDR-2001	10		1.02	ω	USN		4
A- Corrected in the above comment	Clarification of intent.	Comment: Paragraph starts with the words "This constituted" Is "this" referring to the NPR, or to the Defense Authorization Act? If the latter, move first line of paragraph up to line #5. Otherwise, clarify what topic this section is supporting.	7		1.02	>	USJFCOM	9.	40.
	Authorization Act sections that are referenced immediately prior to this text.								
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	#	e.ellEn

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM

9k

SOURCE

Page 10 of 75		as of 04/28/03						2	0031360 don
R - CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendatio	"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD" throughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should	Change to read as follows: "Passive defenses include measures that reduce vulnerability through mobility, dispersal, redundancy, deception, concealment, and hardening; warn of imminent attack and support consequence management activities that mitigate the damage caused by CBRN weapon	00	1c(2) (b)	1.04	Ø	J7		55
A - Same as above	Completeness. Security of nuclear weapons is a vital defense measure of the New Triad.	Change as follows: " vulnerability through security, mobility, dispersal,"	00		1.04	82	USJFCOM	-	54
A	Security of nuclear weapons is a vital defense measure of the New Triad	Change to read:reduce vulnerability through security, mobility"	00		1.04	S	USAF		53
A	Completeness.	Change to read as follows: "Deployed nuclear strike capabilities include the three legs of the existing strategic triad (ICBMs, SLBMs, and bombers) and theater-based, nuclear-capable dual-role aircraft."	02.03	Ic(2) (a)	1.04	W	J7		52
i a		Figure I-1 needs attention, headings above the triangles are mislabeled; Existing (should readCold War) Triad & New Triad. The bottom right corner of the new triad lists robust infrastructure and it should be labeled responsive infrastructure to be congruous with QDR/NPR language.	21	1., (c), (2), (a)	1.03	Z	STRATCOM		51
A	Correctness.	Change to read as follows: "Enhanced <u>command and control</u> , intelligence, and adaptive planning capabilities support the New Triad."	14	1c(2)	1.03	S	J7		50.
>	Adds parallelism to Para. C(1) and leads reader into following discussion.	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Mix of Strategic Capabilities	=		1.03	Þ	USAF		49
A	Accuracy and consistency with JP 1-02. Generic term.	No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication.	8. 15	1c.(1) and (2)	1.03	Þ	USMC		48
>	Simplicity and readability. No need in a doctrinal pub to list specific numbers.	Change as follows: " planning, the United States will reduce its operationally-deployed strategic nuclear forces to a range-of 1,700 to 2,200 operationally deployed strategic warheads: the lowest possible number consistent with national security requirements and alliance obligations while maintaining a level that still provides a credible deterrent but the lowest possible number consistent with national security requirements and alliance obligations.	1.04		1.03	o	USN	7	47
below comment	the same sentence								
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	#	0.0ITEN#

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM

e part of pon pon e e ellism to Para. troduces d consistency d Generic s been used to AD" he JP. WMD BRN is an That should sed is "CBRN is ged		Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication. Change to read as follows: "Terrorists or rogue states armed with CBRN weapons will likely test US security commitments to its allies and friends." change "modem" to "modern" Change "modem" to "modern"	11, 11, 16, and 19 46	Ic(4)	1.05	> >	USAF	5	66.
art of n to Para. luces onsistency ieneric ren used to ren used to resound to ren used to	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C (1) and in paragraph Accuracy ar with JP 1-0. term. "CBRN" ha replace "WI throughout is a noun. C adjective. V have been u weapons."	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication. Change to read as follows: "Terrorists or rogue states armed with CBRN weapons will likely test US security commitments to its allies and friends." change "modem" to "modem"	11, 11, 16, and 19	1c(4)	1.05	A		ľ	
2 0 6 8	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C(1) and in paragraph Accuracy ar with JP 1-02 term. "CBRN" har replace "WI throughout to is a noun. Cadjective. Value adjective. Value adjective. Value weapons."	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication. Change to read as follows: "Terrorists or rogue states armed with CBRN weapons will likely test US security commitments to its allies and friends."	11, 16, and 19	Ic(4)			USFK	5	65
Z D to Cy P	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C (1) and in paragraph Accuracy at with JP 1-02 term. "CBRN" hare been uhave been u	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication. Change to read as follows: "Terrorists or rogue states armed with CBRN weapons will likely test US security commitments to its allies and friends."	11, 16, and 19	lc(4)		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
D 60 & 2	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C (1) and in paragraph Accuracy ar with JP 1-0. term. "CBRN" hareplace "WI throughout is a noun. C	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication. Change to read as follows: "Terrorists or rogue states armed with CBRN weapons will likely test US security commitments to its allies and friends."	11, 16, and 19	1c(4)					
rt of to Para. Locs nsistency encric n used to	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C (1) and in paragraph Accuracy at with JP 1-0. term. "CBRN" ha "CBRN" ha replace "WI	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication. Change to read as follows: "Terrorists or rogue states armed with CBRN weapons will likely test US security commitments to its allies and friends."	11, 16, and 19	Ic(4)					
rt of to Para. tocs nsistency eneric	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C (1) and in paragraph Accuracy ar with JP 1-0.7 term.	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication. Change to read as follows: "Terrorists or rogue states armed with Capan was will likely test US security commitments.	11, 16, and 19	Ic(4)				117	
<u> </u>	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C (1) and in paragraph Accuracy ar with JP 1-02 term.	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication.	11, 16, and		1.04	S	17'		2
	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C(1) and in paragraph Accuracy ar with JP 1-02 term.	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to capitalize "existing triad." "New triad" is a generic term. Lower-case "new triad" throughout the publication.	and 15,						
	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C (1) and in paragraph Accuracy ar	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options No need to capitalize "new triad," anymore than the need to	K.F. 5						
	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C(1) and In paragraph	Change to read: Add paragraph title: Broad Array of Options	5		1.04	A	STRATCOM	ω.	63
	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle C (1) and In	1	2.3						
	nuclear wea infrastructur Adds paralle	1	23						
part of on	nuclear wea		3		2	A	USAF	2	62
part of on	nuclear wea								
part of	The state of the s								
	indispensable	nuclear wearons sector"	2		1.04	ŭ	USAF		01
	wordiness.	Delete "sector of the"	20	2.C	2.04	2 3	USA	2	2
re.	infrastructure.								
apon above	nuclear weapon	-							
an indispensable part of USAF comment	an indispens	responsive"						_	
Completeness. Security is A - Same as	Completene	Change as follows: " particular, a secure modern,	20		1.04	A	USJFCOM	9. 13	59.
		timely manner provides strategic depth to the New Triad.							
		timely new systems or production of existing capabilities in a					4330.		
Simplicity and accuracy	эшриску а	Change as jouows: A responsive intrastructure that can	17.19		1.04	>	Colv	,o	200
1		+	5					1	
A – same as	Editorial.	Change as follows: "The research, and development, and	14		1.04	Α	12 USJFCOM		57.
		The research, development, and industrial"							
Readability A	Grammar, Readability	Change to read: "The research and development and industrial	14		1.02	A	USAF	2	56
have been used is "CBRN n.	have been u	use; and protection against attacks on critical information systems."	:				:		
(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	*	0.0ITEN #

ITEM :11: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

75.	74.	73.	72.	71.	70.	69.	68	0.0ITEN #
								72:
J7	J-5 NAC	J-5 NAC	USN	CSN	USN	J-5 NAC	USA	SOURCE
S	S	S	≯	≯	S	S	w	TYPE
1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	PAGE
2 _a	1D(5)	1D(5)	(5)	(5)		ID(5)	(4)	PARA
ပိုး	16	16	16	16	14	13	6	LINE
Change to read as follows: "Deterrence of adversary CBRN weapon employment requires the adversary leadership to believe the United States has both the ability and will to However, the continuing proliferation of CBRN weapon along with the means to deliver them increases the"	Change "November 2001" to "May 24, 2002"	Change "START I" to "START"	Change " November 2001" to read " May 2002"	Delete Roman numeral after "START 4"	Delete: (1,700 2,200 by 2012)	Change "(START) I limits" to "(START) limits"	Remove the words "over long distances".	COMMENT
"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD" throughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should have been used is "CBRN	Correct date of Moscow Treaty signature	Correct reference to START. START II and START III never entered into force	Accuracy. Moscow Treaty was signed 24 May 2002.	There is only one START Treaty. The former START II and START III are no longer viable agreements.	Detail not required in a doctrinal pub	Correct reference to START. START II and START III never entered into force	These words reflect that deterrence of potential adversaries with modern technology, to include WMD, is based only upon the need to deter threats to the U.S. homelahd. Deterrence of WMD has no weapons range limitation as U.S. interests and allies may be threatened regardless of weapon range.	RATIONALE
R - CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation.	A	>	M - Incorporated in comment above	A - Same as comment above	A	> -) >>	DECISION (A/R/M)

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

2 _

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE PAGE PARA LINE COMMENT

ITEM

SOURCE

cc312fc.doc

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

0.0ITEN # HEM 86 00 83 90 7E USN USMC USA USU SOURCE SOURCE TYPE S Ø Ç) S TYPE PAGE PAGE PARA 1.06 1.06 1.06 1.06 26 2.Ь PARA LINE 05.06 9.12 7.9 4.09 LINE COMMENT military capabilities. This portfolio serves the four key defense dDeveloping and sustaining a modern and diverse portfolio pf and where a war might occur.) from pg. I-2, lines 21 through and the means it might use than who the adversary might be based approach focuses more on how an adversary might figh more on how an adversary might fight and the means it might what threats states, combinations of states, or nonstate actors Change to read: "... United States cannot know with confidence Change as follows: This approach requires the United States to 24 are nearly identical. might occur.) and (A capabilities-This sentence (Thus, the capabilities-based approach focuses might fight and the means it might use rather than who the capabilities based approach focuses more on how an adversary the capabilities an adversary might employ. Thus, the use rather than who the adversary might be and where a war actors pose threats to US interests, it is possible to anticipate Delete: Although the United States eannot know with pose to US interests..." adversary might be and where a war might occurconfidence what nation, combination of nations, or nonstate COMMENT Simplicity Redundancy. Active voice Accuracy. text (pg. I-2, lines 18-24) RATIONALE Redundant with previous RATIONALE R - It needs to be restated. > > be restated. R - It needs to (A/R/M) DECISION DECISION (A/R/M)

cc312fc.doc

80

USAF

(/2

1.06

ď

13

and special operations forces..."

required to direct these forces."

intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (C4ISR) systems

weapons." "Spectrum of

conflict" is an undefined

conducting operations

"conventional forces —

Those forces capable of

Consistency with the JP

>

1-02 definition of the term

using nonnuclear

the command, control, communications, computers,

Change to read: "diverse mixture of non-nuclear eenventional

007

17

ŝ

1.06

26

12

Change to read as follows: "These capabilities require

including nuclear forces.

policy goals_identified earlier_that guide the development,

deployment, and use of military forces and capabilities,

coordinated operations across the spectrum of conflict range of

military operations; survivable and secure nuclear forces; and

maintaining a diverse mix of conventional and special operations forces capable of high-intensity, sustained, and

STRATCOM

"Non-nuclear" is a better word here. Since this pub is addressing nuclear ops,

M - See above

commen

don't need to specifically

DECISION (A/R/M)

cc312fc.doc

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM

PARA LINE COMMENT PARA LINE COMMENT RATIONALE REFORM Special operations forces or even it, land, sea, and special operations forces or even it, land, sea, and special operations forces or even it, land, sea, and special operations forces or even it, land, sea, and special operations forces or even it, land, sea, and special operations forces or even it, land, sea, and special operations forces or even it, land, sea, and special operations with line of the land, sea, and special operations or even it, land, sea, and special operations with line of the land, sea, and special operations with line of the land, sea, and special	14 Delete: aeross the speetrum of conflict 14 Change as follows: " across the speetrum of conflict range of military operations; survivable secure" 14 Change to read: and coordinated operations across the range of military operations; survivable 15 Change to read: Therefore, if determence fails, both control escalation and terminate the conflict on terms favorable to the United States and its allies. 19.22 Change to read: Therefore, if determence fails, both control escalation and terminate the conflict on terms favorable to the United States and its allies. 5 Delete: wartical 5 Delete: word "vertical" from Line 5 and "grave" from line 6.	A – Changed in bove comment	M - removed with below comment	A	zc A r r g. ate ne	A See above comment	A – see above comment comment	M - Changed in above comment	. g & g	(A/R/M)
14 14 14 14 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	PARA LINE 14 14 2b. 14 2b. 14 2c. 5.06	Wordiness. The additional words are not	Clarifics the term.	Unnecessary adjective	Unnecessary to categorize conventional and nuclear structures. Tends to leave out other capabilities (e.g. SOF). Use of generic terminology is appropriate and is consistent with line 17 of the same paragraph.	From the DOD terminology database: 11/08/93: 11/08/93: "spectrum of conflict" changed to "range of military operations" in the AP version of Joint Pub 2-0.	1. Correctness and consistency with JP 3-0 and paragraph #3 heading. 2. Completeness, Nuclear forces must be secure to provide effective deterrence.	Sentence stands alone without this phrase which is redundant with line 4	refer to conventional and special operations forces or even air, land, sea, and special operations forces.	RATIONALE
I.RA	PARA 2b.	Remove word "vertical" from Line 5 and "grave" from line 6.		Delete: wertical	Change to read: Therefore, if deterrence fails, both conventional and nuclear force structure and readiness the force mixture must provide a variety of options designed to control escalation and terminate the conflict on terms favorable to the United States and its allies.	Change to read: and coordinated operations across the range of military operations; survivable	Change as follows: " across the spectrum of eenfliet range of military operations; survivable secure"	Delete: aeross the spectrum-of-conflict		COMMENT
PARA 2b.		5.06	Us.	v	19.22	14	4	14		-
	1.06 1.06 1.06 1.06 1.07	2.c			9	26.				PARA
A S A S S S A TYPE		USA	13	USN	USAF	USMC	USJFCOM	USN		SOURCE
OM CE	USN USAF	95.	94.	93	92.	91.	90, 17	89		0.01TEN#

DECISION (A/R/M)

cc312fc.doc

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

71:

	100		99.	98.	97.	96.	0.01TEN
	0	[//		- - 8			₹:
	CADD		USAF	USJFCOM	USMC	USMC	SOURCE
	S		S	S	>	>	TYPE
	1.07		1.07	1.07	1.07	1.07	PAGE
	g.	*	2.d.		2c.	2c.	PARA
	17		17	9	00	6	LINE
miscussiving are populative scope of this at.	Change to read, (refer to Appendix A, "Treaty Obligations" which discusses the Nuclear Arms Control Treaties. Chemical, Biological and Radiological treaties are not discussed in this JP. Additionally, chemical, biological and radiological	Move figure A-1 to page I-7. Remove Appendix A. Renumber figures and re-letter appendixes.	Delete "(refer to Appendix A, "Treaty-Obligations"). Add "(see Figure I-2, which discusses the Nuclear Arms Control Treaties)."	Change as follows: "use, but also how to employ them type and number of weapons used and method of employment."	Change to read: The decision to use nuclear weapons involves many political considerations, which impact not only nuclear weapons use, but also how to employ them.	Add a comma after the word "event."	COMMENT
	Clarifies for the reader the extent of CBRN to be discussed.	from Appendix A. Recommend Figure A-1 be incorporated into the document where it is referenced. Adding the figure on page I-7 improves readability and eliminates the Appendix. See other inputs ref. this figure.	Identifies the proper figure with the deletion of Appendix A. The entire parrative was deleted	Completeness.	The "them" at the end of the sentence reflects a plural noun.	When three or more items are listed in a series and the last item is preceded by and, or, or nor, place a comma before the conjunction as well as between the other items. (Gregg Reference Manual, GPO Style Manual, and Words into Type)	RATIONALE
	A - Changed in above comment		>	>	comment below	>	(A/R/M)

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM

#

The state of the s		CHARRETO ICAG DY COHYCHIOHAI TOICES, AND DIOICES AND DIOICES			1.00	- 1	Carr	2	
CL	Grammar Readahility	Change to read: by conventional forces and protect allies "	*		30	A	INAF		2
	unauthorized access.								
	prevent loss, their and	inreat and prevent loss, their, and unauthorized access.							
	CHOUSE BREEF BING	ilialiplacible, halispoliation, and storage to iningate terrorist							
	townsict threat and								
	take steps to mitigate the	unauthorized aggests must be provided. Ensure secure				200			
	-	storage that are free from terrorist threat, theft, loss, and				131			
A	annot	Change to read: Secure manufacture, transportation, and	F1.02		1.08	S	USAF		10
	unauthorized access.								
	prevent loss, theft and								
	terrorist threat and	threat and prevent loss, theft, and unauthorized access."							
	take steps to mingate the	manufacture, transportation, and storage to mitigate terrorist	1.39						
comment.	prevented, out we can	unaumorized access must be provided Ensure secure	-		igi s				
apove-	dilicar campor po	biologe that are lice hold terrories threat, merty room, and	Use		0.00				
	throat count ha	change that are from from terrorist throat that loss and			1,00	2			
A - Changed in	Clarity. The terrorist	Change as follows: "Secure manufacture-transportation and	5		200	A	USIFCOM	2	5
	nuclear weapons.			THE COURSE					
	considering the use of				5.79-7				
discussion	consideration when				(3:0)				
HO MOTIOT SET				Marian	200			20.00	
Would collinse	Operations is a	Fundamental Considerations.			Centro	nis			
distribution of		Necoliticad meorbolating and batagraph in to I magraph 2,	1-7	ŗ	1,00	>	000		9
R - Moving	1	Decompand incorporating this paragraph in to Paragraph ?	10	1	80.1	A	ASI		-
	conventional munitions	their destructive retential and long-term physiological offects."			J. 10				
	the effects of	unique from conventional and even other WMD in the scope of				(0)			
	the US stockpile dwarf	prohibited in armed conflict by LOAC. They are, however,			222				
A	All nuclear weapons in	Replace last sentence with "Nuclear weapons use is not	34.37		1.07	S	J-3		<u>.</u>
		expected collateral damage."			5,100				
		objectives and advantages cannot be outweighed offset by the	;		gana	-			
A		Change as follows: "However, both also recognize that these	30.31		1.07	S	USJFCOM	20	P
	appendix.								
	the text and eliminates the								
	an appropriate location in				T. 12.2				
USAF comment	covers the information at	Renumber following figures as appropriate.							
A - See above	Improves readability,	Insert: Fig A-1 to this location and re-label it as Fig I-2,	21		1.07	S	USAF	-	5
		prohibits "	;			\$	Comment		
D	Editorial	Charles as follows: " or conventional international law that	10		107	>			
		JP. Additionally, chemical, biological and radiological discussions are beyond the scope of this JP.	235		Agrico		25		
	discussed.	Biological and Radiological treaties are not discussed in this							
above comment		which discusses the Nuclear Arms Control Treaties. Chemical,							
A - Changed in	Clarifies for the reader the	Change to read, (refer to Appendix A, "Treaty Obligations"	17	P	1.07	S	USA		5
(A/R/M)					8		10		
					1				

DECISION

cc312fc.doc

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

COMMENT

ITEM

71:

SOURCE

TYPE

PAGE

PARA

LINE

0.0ITEN # Ξ 12 1-3 J2P USU SOURCE USA CADD USJFCOM J7 J7 CO Ø \Rightarrow S S Z Z TYPE 1.09 1.08 PAGE 1.09 1.09 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 3.2 3.8.4 3a(1) (E) PARA L F1.03 LINE 15.16 15 6.7 S 5 Recommend introducing the concept of flexible deterrent military operations" radiological, or nuclear (CBRN) weapon use or conventional Change to read as follows: "Deterring chemical, biological, Change to read: (4) Crisis. The United States maintains the capability to rapidly posturing posture its nuclear forces. employment considerations. forces must meet The criteria shown in Figure I-2 these Change as follows: As one element of national power, nuclear criteria shown in Figure I-2." term vice elements (see JP 1) criteria shown in Figure I-2. COMMENT instrument of national power, nuclear forces must meet the Change to read as follows: "As one element part of the military the criteria shown in Figure I-2. Instruments are the correct military instrument of national power, these forces must meet Change to read as follows: " As nuclear forces are part of the military element of national power, these forces must meet the Change to read as follows: " As nuclear forces are part of the allies, and help assure their security." Change as follows: "... by conventional forces, and-protect replace "WMD" Expands understanding of weapons." have been used is "CBRN adjective. What should is a noun. CBRN is an throughout the JP. WMD "CBRN" has been used to Correctness economic. informational, and military, political, power and they include national instruments of accepted terminology is of national power. The Nuclear is not an element Correctness. instrument of national Accuracy, Simplicity, and of national power. They readability. national power. the military element of power. They are part of Correctness. Nuclear element of national are part of the military torces are not an element Correctness, Nuclear **Editorial** RATIONALE lorces are not an power. R -- Confuses recommendatio with WMD per > been replaced R - CBRN has above comment A - Changed in > above comment A - Changed in above comment > Joint Staff below comment (A/R/M) A - Changed in DECISION (A/R/M)

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

HEM

#±|

*	Clarifies that our activities should be	Change to read: "warnings of adversary CBRN attack."	100		1.09	· co	USAF	125
A	Easier to read	Change to read: Conventional forces and intelligence activities require prudent management to avoid ensure avoidance of inadvertent escalation or mistaken warnings of CBRN attack.	16.18		1.09	A	USAF	12:
A - Changed in above comment	Correctness.	Change first word "posturing" to "posture."	15	3a.(4)	1.09	>	USMC	172
A - Changed in above comment	Editorial	CTK:"to rapidly posturging its nuclear"	5	(4)	1.09	>	USA	5
above comment	readability.	to rapidly posture its nuclear forces."	: :		3	;		
above comment		• I	7		100	>	USIFCOM	12, 23
A - Changed in	Corrects grammar	Replace "posturing" with "posture"	15	3a4	1.09	>	J362	12]
above comment	Grammar.	Change to read, posture mistead of posturing.	į		1,02	\$	3	1
above comment	not gerund	to rapidly posturing posture its nuclear forces."	'n		3		13	3
A - Changed in	Grammar—verb needed,	Change to read: "The United States maintains the capability	14.15		1.09	>	USAF	12
A – Changed in above comment	Corrects grammar.	The United States maintains the capability to rapidly posturing posture its nuclear forces.	14.15	384	1.09	≯	J3612	120
A - Changed in above comment	Correctness.	Change to read as follows: "(4) Crisis. The United States maintains the capability to rapidly posturing posture its nuclear forces."	14	3a(4)	1.09	>	J7	=
		Change to read, "weapons if necessary, as well as increasing here the delivery system's survivability."						
A	As written, the sentence makes no sense.	General comment: Do not understand what "as well as increasing their survivability" is referring to in this sentence: Nuclear delivery systems deploying to dispersal locations can send a forceful message that demonstrates the national will to use nuclear weapons if necessary, as well as increasing their survivability.	7.08	3a.(3)	.99		USMC	
the discussion	deterrence.	options (FDOs) within this paragraph. Examples of FDOs (increased readiness, deployment of systems, etc) are already included in the discussion but are not identified as FDOs.						
(A/R/M)	ICAL ICANAL							

ITEM 7£: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

A	Grammar for item listing	Replace "and scope of conflict" with ", scope of conflict."			1.10	Α	USAF	_	13:
A - changed in above comment	Clarity. Existing text is awkward and confusing.	Change as follows: "Thus, confronted with overwhelming conventional forces or the prospect of a prolonged conventional conflict, may-lower the CBRNE threshold may be lowered by making since CBRNE use may appear as the only viable option for regime survival."	7.41		1.09	>	USJFCOM	26	<u> </u>
A	Existing sentence was incomplete and confusing.	Change to read: "Thus, when an adversary is confronted with overwhelming conventional forces or a prolonged conventional conflict may-lower the CBRN threshold by may be lowered, making CBRN use appear the only viable option for regime survival."	37.41		1.09	>	USAF		13
R - CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation,	"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD" throughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should have been used is "CBRN weapons."	Change to read as follows: "(1) Deterring CBRN Weapon Use and Conventional Military Operations. Deterrence of a CBRN attack depends on the adversary's perception of its warfighting capabilities relative to those of the United States and its allies. However, wartime circumstances may alter such perceptions. Shifts in the strategic balance may result from military action in which an adversary suffers significant destruction of its military forces and means of support. Thus, confronted with overwhelming conventional forces or a prolonged conventional conflict may lower the CBRN threshold by making CBRN weapon use appear the only viable option for regime survival."	27	3 b(1)	1.09	S	J7		13:
>	Inconsistency?	Title of 2b(1) is Deterring CBRN Use and Conventional Military Weapons. Fig 1-3, first bullet is Determining chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear (CBRN) use or conventional military operations. Change figure 1-3 first bullet to, "Deterring WMD use and conventional military operations."	27	3b.(1)	1.09	≯	USMC	3	13
>	Clarity.	Change as follows: "This eould require requires carefulshould consider the potential military advantages an adversary"	20		1.09	>	USJFCOM	30 25	130
M - CBRN changed to WMD	Clarity. Do not want to imply that we have chem. or bio weapons.	Change as follows: " warnings of CBRNE attack by an adversary."	180		09	S		1	ļ <u>-</u>
	to minimize mistaken warnings about adversary actions, not ours.							-	
(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	*	0.9ITEN #

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

71:

	14		14	139	3	13	13	0.01TEN #
						27		*
	G/A	OSA A	USA	1-3	ů	USJFCOM	J7	SOURCE
	2	3	Z	S	X	A	>	TYPE
	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	PAGE
	(3)	(3)	(3)				3b(2)	PARA
	6.19	6.19	6.19	6.07	6	4	4	LINE
	Change the title of this paragraph to read "Friendly Nuclear Strike Warning (STRIKWARN)". Change all entries to read, "STRIKWARN" and update glossary entry with the correct spelling and words, "Friendly Nuclear Strike Warning."	Add the following sentence to Line 9. "Commanders must ensure that STRIKWARN messages are disseminated in a sufficient amount of time for subordinate units to take actions to mitigate the possible consequences of US use of nuclear weapons. Consideration should also be given for dissemination of STRIKWARN information to allies.	Add reference to STANAG 2104, ATP45B.	Change to read, "Friendly forces receive advanced warning of friendly nuclear strikes to ensure they are not placed at mitigate unnecessary risk can take actions to protect themselves from the effects of the attack."	Change title of paragraph to "Friendly Nuclear Strike Warning (STRIKWARN) Strike Warning (STRIKEWARN)."	Change as follows: "nuclear supplement to the Joint Strategie Capabilities Plan (JSCP).	Change to read as follows: " nuclear supplement to the Joint Strategie Capabilities Plan (JSCP)."	COMMENT
The state of the s	Completeness and correctness. Friendly Nuclear Strike Warning messages are US Message Text Format as well as NATO Standardized in NATO Standardized in NATO Standardized Agreement 2104, Friendly Nuclear Strike Warning. The correct term spelling is, "STRIKWARN" without	Completeness.	Correct reference for STRIKWARN procedures that are used within NATO.	Clarity.	Corrects term IAW STANAG 2104, Friendly Nuclear Strike Warning; FM 3-3-1, Nuclear Contamination Avoidance; and the US Message Text Format (USMTF).	Acronym previously established on page 1.01.	Acronym already established.	RATIONALE
	A - Changed in bove comment	2	A – USA will provide line/in line/out (per discussion with USA)	À	A – Changed in above	A - Changed in above	>	DECISION (A/R/M)

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

METI

SOURCE

200		Seemily redunements.						
4	Doctrine. FM 3-3-1, Nuclear Contamination Avoidance, contains	Add the following statement to the end of the paragraph, "STRIKWARN messages may be sent in the clear if the issuing commander determines that safety warnings override	19		1.10	S	ដ	15
(D)	Clarity.	Change to read, "information if they will be potentially are likely to be affected by the effects"	15		1.10	S	J-3	15
M - Changed in above comment	Clarity	CTR: "by the effects of US nuclear"	15	(3)	1.10	A	USA	
A - Only instance of this. No other changes need to be made.	Change here and throughout the JP. Multinational include allied and coalition. Use multinational throughout to be consistent.	Change to read as follows: "to ensure that multinational forces	14	(3)	1.10	CO	CADD	14!
A - Changed in above	Change here and throughout the JP. Multinational include allied and coalition. Use multinational throughout to be consistent.	Change to read as follows: "to ensure that multinational forces	14	(3)	1.10	(V)	USA	141
A	A very verbose way of stating the obvious.	Change to read: "Theater forces potentially affected by the effects of US nuclear strikes are informed of nuclear strikes through a STRIKEWARN message. Geographic combatant commands must develop procedures to ensure that coalition/allied forces receive STRIKEWARN information if they will be potentially affected by the effects of US nuclear strikes"	12.16		01:1	w	USMC	14
>	Accuracy. Theater is too limiting. Not all forces are "theater forces" (when operating or transiting)	Change as follows: Theater Joint forces potentially affected by the effects of US nuclear strikes are	12		1.10	S	USN	4
A	Clarity.	Change to read, "whose units will are likely to be affected by the strike."	9		1.10	S	J-3	14:
A	Clarity.	Change to read: "nuclear strikes to ensure unnecessary risk is mitigated."	7	(3)	1.10	>	USA	4
>	Proper term IAW STANAG, FM 3-3-1, and USMTF.	Replace "STRIKEWARN" with "STRIKWARN" throughout the paragraph.	6.19		1.10	W	J-3	14.
(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	ТҮРЕ	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #

cc312fc.doc

5	sentence associates related effects under the three basic effects of	dynamic pressure, ground shock, cratering), thermal radiation, and nuclear radiation (initial, residual, fallout, blackout,						3	
A	Clarity Replacement	Replace the 1sentonce with "The immediate and prolonged	43 45		5	0	1-3	2	
>	This statement is not relevant to the argument being made in the paragraph.	Change to read as follows: " eliminating intermediate retaliatory steps, rapid escalation is possible. The attrition of conventional and nuclear forces directly affects the decision process for escalation to nuclear warfare and may also contribute to minimizing damage."	38	3b(5)	1.10	V	j	16.	
A	Incomplete and unnecessary list	Delete: (through antisubmarine warfare, conventional theater attacks, sabotage, or antisatellite warfare)	.36		1.10	S	USN	16	
M - OBE due to above comment	Correctness.	Change to read: the adversary threat capability assessment indicates a CBRN potential, the campaign	28	35.(4)	1.10	>	USMC	16	
A	Correctness.	Change "an CBRN" to "a WMD".		(4)	1.10	A	USA	15	
A	Unnecessary phrase. It is assumed by the remainder of the sentence	Change as follows: In theater, In combatant commander must consider the adversary's CBRN weapon and delivery system when considering COAs.	26.27		1.10	>	USN	15	
A	Inappropriate reference - no such series	Delete: and the appropriate JP 3-XX.01-series	25.26		1.10	Ç)	USN	15	
R - changed in above comment	Aligns paragraph with remainder of document.	Change as follows: " also evaluate nuclear, biological, and chemical (NBC) CBRNE defensive measures."	23		1.10	>		15(28	
R - changed in above comment	Aligns paragraph with remainder of document	Change to read: "also evaluate nuclear, biological, and chamical (NBC) CBRN defensive measures.	23		1.10	>		15	
A	Acronym does not meet guidelines for single use. Correct article	Change to read as follows: " also evaluate nuclear, biological, and chemical (NBC) defensive measures. Joint threat capability assessment indicates an CBRN potential"	23	3b(4)	1.10	>	J7	15	
R - CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation,	"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD" throughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should have been used is "CBRN weapons."	Change to read as follows: "(4) Adversary CBRN Weapon Use. When formulating COAs, operation planning must address the possibility that an adversary will use CBRN weapons."	21	3b(4)	1.10	C.	17	Ü	
	STRIKWARN messages in the clear to ensure the safety of friendly forces (Chapter 2).								
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	TEN #	0.0ITEN
(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	- F	Math
		Some part infer to at 3-16, 2000 the 200 to the receipt Open theorem (Second Death)	100		200			┨	

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM

‡±

to read, "The results of tic impact on the human	10	(6)	=	Z	USA	17
Change to read: "by using utilizing." Easier to read.	7		1.11	A	USAF	170
Change to read as follows: "Commanders and military planners must contend with significant challenges in a CBRN nuclear/radiological environment and incorporate mitigating or avoidance measures into operation planning utilizing authoritative documents detailing CBRN nuclear/radiological effects." This paragraph is about nuclear effects, not CBRN nuclear/radiological	L ₁	3b(6)		v	Ę	
Delete word "perhaps". U.S. forces, by doctrine, are required to operate in a WMD environment for sustained periods of time.	, ,,	(6)	Ξ	>	USA	16
Eliminate, unless we are dealing with enemy use of nuclear weapons on US Forces, (JP 3-11). The focus of this document should be on our ability to use our weapons. Care must be taken in planning nuclear weapons use as to not degrade friendly operations.	1.12		1.11	S	3	16
Change as follows: " radiation, prompt radiation (gamma clarity, and neutron) and"	45		1.10	S	USJFCOM	166 29
including blast,, electromagnetic pulse, thermal radiation, simplicity and removal of prompt and delayed radiation redundant information	44,45	3.b.6	1.10	v ₂		
Change to read: "The immediate and prolonged effects of nuclear weapons —including blast, overpressure, electromagnetic pulse, visible light, blackout, thermal radiation, prompt (gamma and neutron) radiation, and activation products, and fallout — pose challenging physical and psychological problems for combat forces"	43.46		1.10	>	USAF	\$
clectromagnetic pulse) pose physical and psychological challenges for combat forces and noncombatant populations alike."						
COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #
	clectromagnetic pulse) pose physical and psychological challenges for combat forces and noncombatant populations alike." Change to read: "The immediate and prolonged effects of nuclear weapons —including blast, overpressure, electromagnetic pulse, visible light, blackout, thermal radiation, prompt (gamma and neutron) radiation, and activation products, and fallout — rose challenging physical		43.46	PARA LINE 43.46	YPE PAGE PARA LINE	TYPE PAGE PARA LINE A 1.10 43.46

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

3	,	504/20/02						5	CC2126 doc
R – This is covered in nuclear effects and mitigation efforts.	ent should cussion of the ces of ses of nsideration e taken into en planning	Add "Consequences of Execution" subparagraph (8) as a wartime consideration.	16			X	-3		17
R — CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation.	"CBRN" has been used to R replace "WMD" bthroughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should have been used is "CBRN necessions."	Change to read as follows: "(7) Mitigation Efforts. Actions required to mitigate the effects of CBRN weapons are shown in Figure 1-4."	14	3b(7)	11	S	J7		17
M-changed in above comment	Editorial N	CTR: "it may make a-person-personnel more"	Ξ	(6)	1.11	≻	USA		17.
M - Changed in above comment		CTR: "it may make a person personnel more"	Ξ	(6)	1.11	>	USA		17
M - changed in above comment	exposed persons distorts the most essential fact: physiologically weakened persons, by radiation or exhaustion, have more difficulty to repair physical damage and are more susceptible be disease and complications from injuries. As written, the sentence seems to infer that there is a special relationship between biological and radiological exposure. Physiologically weakened personnel (by exposure to radiation) may be more susceptible to injury from both chemical and biological agents.	Replace the last sentence with, "The effects of nuclear weapons used in combination with chemical or biological weapons may produce synergistic results on the human body resulting in total effect being greater than the individual effect."	10.12		South Junes Speed Speed	ζ ₀	J-3	73	17
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	*	0.01TEN
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	ARA LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	***	ITEM

ITEM ąŁ. SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

18		18		17	17		0.0ITEN #
USA	31 USJFCOM	USAF	30 USJFCOM	USAF	USAF		SOURCE
X	S	N	ω;	>	w		TYPE
1.12	1.11	1.12	pand p		1.11		PAGE
Fig I-5							PARA
	1.3	26 1.3	22	22	19 17		LINE
Add "Consequence Management" at a Post Wartime Consideration.	Comment: The statement "War termination may initially involve the end of nuclear combat actions, but not necessarily all aspects of conventional warfighting" does not make sense. Termination clearly implies a cessation of hostilities, regardless of whether it's conventional or otherwise. Either delete or clarify author's intent.	Change to read: The war Was termination strategy may initially involve the end of nuclear combat actious, but not necessarily all aspects of conventional warfighting.	Comment: The phrase "enduring control over military forces" is not clear, does this imply that we expect to lose control of our military forces. Or do the authors mean to say that the adversary may have difficulty commanding forces due to our disrupting attack? Please clarify.	Change to read: "enduring ensuring"	Change order of paragraphs: Move (2) Termination Strategy ahead of (1) War Termination. Renumber as appropriate.		COMMENT
management will be a		The sentence states that war termination will not necessarily include all aspects of conventional warfighting. If this is the case, why call it war termination? Termination implies cessation of hostilities in this context. By terming it as a possible war termination strategy, the sentence transitions to the discussion in the following paragraph.		Typo.	If this is truly war termination then "Termination Strategy" should be addressed before "War Termination	nuclear operations. Coffincludes impact on the campaign plan, fatalities/injuries, etc.	RATIONALE
provide line/in	above AF comment	*	A — Clarified in below comment by the AF	A	≫		(A/R/M)

ITEM **4**: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

2 11							200	
R - CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation.	"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD" throughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should have been used is "CBRN	Change to read as follows: "An adequate reserve of nuclear forces would preclude another country or nonstate organization from coercing the United States before, during, or after the use of WMD CBRN weapons."	19	3c(3)	1.12	co	J7	
M - Provided sentence is poorly written and confusing. USA comment below expands the discussion of C4ISR.	Expands the discussion of C4ISR importance.	Add as a last sentence, "To ensure this occurs information assurance protect and defend information by ensuring their availability, integrity, authentication, confidentiality, and nonrepudiation. This includes providing for restoration of information systems by incorporating, protection, detection, and reaction capabilities. See JP 3-13 for details.	17	(2)	1.12	Z	CADD	18
>	Expands the discussion of C4ISR importance. See JP 3-13 for details.	Add as a last sentence, "Information assurance protects and defends information by ensuring their availability, integrity, authentication, confidentiality, and nonrepudiation. This includes providing for restoration of information systems by incorporating, protection, detection, and reaction capabilities.	17	(2)	1.12	X	USA	180
R - CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation.	"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD" throughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should have been used is "CBRN weapons."	Change to read as follows: "However, there are no assurances that a conflict involving CBRN weapons is controllable or of short duration."	13	3c(2)	1.12	w	J7	
>	End state is two words without a hyphen.	Change to read as follows: " strategy, national military strategy, and end state goals."	13	3c(2)	1.12	Α	J7	18:
A – Changed in above comment	Consistent with input to swap order of paragraphs in the text	Change order of items: List Termination Strategy ahead of War Termination.	F1.05		1.12	S	USAF	~
A — USA will provide line/in line/out (per discussion with USA)	The use of nuclear weapons will have a considerable impact upon post-war military support operations.	Add Transition to Post-War Military Support Operations as a Post Wartime Considerations with the inclusion of the following subparagraphs: Occupation, Repair, Reconstitution, Transition to Civilian and Non-Military Activities, and Transition to Peacekeeping Activities.		도,;;	1.12	Z	USA	18
line/out (per discussion with USA)	major post wartime consideration.		1					
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

ITEM

#±

SOURCE

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

as a post Consequence major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. is European id nuclear guidance. Correct punctuation. Correct punctuation. Parallels figure II-1 above comment Correct the paragraph. Ecs, Parallels figure II-1 above comment Correct punctuation. A Changed in above comment M Correct paragraph. Feference to figure II-1 above comment M Correct punctuation. A Correct punct	Replace commas with semicolons after responsibilities, targeting, and integration Change to read: "These-four elements (See Figure II-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change to read: "These-four elements (See Figure II-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change sentence "National policy requires a single execution authority of nuclear weapons." to "National policy requires a single execution and termination authority for nuclear weapons." Change sentence "The President retains sole authority for the employment of nuclear weapons." to "The President retains sole authority for the employment and termination of nuclear weapons." Change sentence "Nuclear weapon release and related" to	10.11 11.12 16.17		2.01	3 3	J. J.	193
Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. Correct punctuation. Correct punctuation. Parallels figure II-1 Parallels figure II-1 Parallels figure II-1 Must be clear that only the President can execute and terminate nuclear options. Must be clear that only the President can execute and terminate nuclear options.	Replace commas with semicolons after responsibilities, targeting, and integration Change to read: "These-four-elements (See Figure 11-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change to read: "These four-elements (See Figure 11-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change sentence "National policy requires a single executic authority of nuclear weapons." to "National policy requires single execution and termination authority for nuclear weapons" Change sentence "The President retains sole authority for the employment of nuclear weapons." to "The President retains sole authority for the employment and termination of nuclear weapons."	10.11 11.12 16.17		2.01	Z		<u> </u>
Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. Correct punctuation. Correct punctuation. Redundant to first sentence of the paragraph. Redundant to first sentence of the paragraph. Must be clear that only the President can execute and terminate nuclear options. Must be clear that only the President can execute	Replace commas with semicolons after responsibilities, targeting, and integration Change to read: "These four elements (See Figure 11-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change to read: "These four elements (See Figure 11-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change sentence "National policy requires a single executic authority of nuclear weapons." to "National policy requires single execution and termination authority for nuclear weapons." Change sentence "The President retains sole authority for the employment of nuclear weapons." to "The President retains sole authority for the employment and termination of nuclear weapons."	10.11 11.12 16.17		2.01	Z	1.3	
sa a post Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. s uropean d nuclear uidance. Correct punctuation. ployment Parallels figure II-1 ss, Parallels figure II-1 sentence of the paragraph. 1-) are Redundant to first sentence of the paragraph. 1-) are Must be clear that only puires a and terminate nuclear options.	Replace commas with semicolons after responsibilities, targeting, and integration Change to read: "These four elements (See Figure 11-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change to read: "These four elements (See Figure 11-1.) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change sentence "National policy requires a single executic authority of nuclear weapons." to "National policy requires single execution and termination authority for nuclear weapons."	10.11		2.01	Z	J-3	19]
sa a post Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. s uropean d nuclear uidance. Correct punctuation. ployment Parallels figure II-1 ss, Parallels figure II-1 sentence of the paragraph. 1-) are Redundant to first sentence of the paragraph. 1-) are Must be clear that only the President can execute and terminate nuclear	Replace commas with semicolons after responsibilities, targeting, and integration Change to read: "These four elements (See Figure 11-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change to read: "These four elements (See Figure 11-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change sentence "National policy requires a single executic authority of nuclear weapons." to "National policy requires single execution and termination authority for nuclear weapons"	10.11	-	2.01			
sa a post Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. s uropean d nuclear uidance. Correct punctuation. aployment s, Parallels figure II-1 s, Pare Redundant to first sentence of the paragraph. 1-) are	Replace commas with semicolons after responsibilities, targeting, and integration Change to read: "These four elements (See Figure 11-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations." Change to read: "These four elements (See Figure II-1) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations."	10.11	-	302			
as a post Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. is iuropean d nuclear uidance. Correct punctuation. iployment Parallels figure II-1 ss, Parallels figure II-1 ss, Sentence of the paragraph.	Replace commas with semicolons after responsibilities, targeting, and integration Change to read: "These four elements (See Figure II-I) are eore to both strategic and theater nuclear operations."	10.11	-		<	<u>ئ</u>	19
st Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. an ear cc. Correct punctuation. nent Parallels figure II-1	Replace commas with semicolons after responsibilities, targeting, and integration	10.11					
a post Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. Topean nuclear dance. Correct punctuation.	Renlace commas with semicolons often recognitivities	10.11		201	S	USAF	19
Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations. Correct punctuation.		_		2.01	>	USAF	192
Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations.	Change to read: relationships, C2, and command responsibilities; integrated planning and targeting; employment and force integration; and combat readiness.	II 5	i-	\$,0I	>	C	
Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations.							
Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations.		<u></u>					
Consequence management will be a major consideration for post wartime planning/operations.	Comment: Need to clarify in this JP whether DCA is considered in theater options and plans or not. The European	7		2.01	- v	ostreom	17.
Consequence management will be a major consideration for					2		`
Consequence			···.	i.			
	wartime consideration.	ŧ		i	;		
	Add "Consociumen Management"	77	-	1 12	3	1-3	19
not going to significantly affect our nuclear reserve				=1	_	-	
_	Replace "CBRN" with "nuclear wrances"	19.23	+	1.12	S	J-3	190
(ARM)			-		-		_
PATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	E PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.01TEN #

L

Page 28 of 75

ITEM

*

SOURCE

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

72	Daga 70 af	D	as of 04/28/03						30	000,31216,000
			Insert a paragraph 3b that states "b. Theater Nuclear				L	L	F	
	-	Completeness.	"Planning and Targeting" and its contents. The paragraph appears to supply planning and targeting information only for strategic nuclear operations. Where is the corresponding guidance for nonstrategic or theater nuclear planning and targeting?		1					
omment	above comment		Them is a discount between the distance of the control of the cont			2.03	Z	J7		20
ne as	A - Same as	Consistency	Change to read "Geographic combatant commanders have	16	, ,	2.02	>	OSA		202
	D	Consistency	operational control (OPCON) over"		1			100		3
omment	above comment		and delete the "and" between.	2	C	2.02	Α	CADD		20
ed in	M - fixed in	Correctness.	Place commas after the words "execution" and "survivability"	1	,o	2.02	Þ	USA		20%
	>	Grammar.	Change to read, "execution, and reduces-increases survivability, and reduces vulnerability problems of C2 systems."	=		20.4	. 5			
	A	Both strategic and theater nuclear plans should be considered.	nuclear plans and options."			3	>	1.3		20
		are not addressed.	Change to read "	9		2.02	S	13		207
A – other comments expanded on the nuclear C2 responsibilities	A – other comments expanded conuclear C2 responsibil	Knowing who owns the platforms or the ability to keep C2 systems up is important, but several key command responsibilities	responsibilities of the platforms and equipment. They should address all C2 and command responsibilities involved with nuclear operations.	13.19		e C	· ·	147		
	pub.		The fears of these manuals :- Ca	7 13		202	S	ដ	20	2
R — Difficult to expand on the discussion in an unclassified	R - Difficul expand on the discussion in unclassified	Correctness.	this paragraph needs to be expanded to include a definition of top-down communications and how it ensures that critical orders are received.		ç		(
	pub.			711	7	283	2	USA	8	7
discussion in an unclassified	discussion in unclassified		received.							
R - Difficult to	R - Di	Clarity.	should explain systems used to ensure critical orders are	~	2.16	i i	(
		options.		7		283	2	J-3	190	
		the President can execute and terminate nuclear	Nuclear weapons release/termination and related"							
SION	(A/R/M)	KALIONALE	148.1							
			COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	EN#	0.0ITEN
(A/R/M)		RATIONALE	Contractive							
			COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	7	INTIL

as of 04/28/03

Page 29 of 75

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

Mali

A – See STRATCOM somment. Page 30 of 75	ion of nd strategic targeting Pa	Recommend comparison of GCC and "strategic" level targeting be eliminated or clarified. If strategic refers to USSTRATCOM, then it should say so as they are also a CC. as of 04/28/03	25.31)3	2.03	S 2.0	
M - Changed in above comment	cly	Change as follows: " priorities before, or-during, and after the execution"	18	83	2.03	2	S 2	
M - Changed in above comment	ਲੋ	Change to read: before or during before, during, and after	18		2.03		S	
M - Changed in below comment	More accurately reflects weapons employment	Change to read: prior to and during prior to, during, and after	6		2.03		S	USAF S
>	ore accurately apons	Change as follows: " prior to, and-during, and after conflict, and"	6		2.03		S	USJFCOM S
(A)	is a better is also th the text in which ce integration yment	Change to read: "planning and execution guidance ensures optimum targeting and integration synchronization of US nuclear forces"	5.6	3 B	2.03	Andread Control of the Control of th	ຶ	USAF
>	Per JP 1-02, force planning is planning associated with the creation and maintenance of military capabilities. It is primarily the responsibility of the Military Departments and Services and is conducted under the administrative control that runs from the Sccretary of Defense to the Military Departments and Services.	Change to read as follows: "a. Strategic Nuclear Force Planning."	ω	ယ အ	2.03		ω	37 S
		Planning. Theater-specific planning and targeting considerations are addressed in JP 3-12.1, Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning." Re-label other paragraphs appropriately.						
(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE		TYPE	SOURCE

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM #

SOURCE

0.0ITEN #	SOURCE	TYPE	PAGE	PARA	LINE	COMMENT	RATIONALE	DECISION (A/R/M)
							responsibilities as written in this paragraph is	Confusing sentence
21:	USA	A	2.03	ġ.	26	Change to read "taking into account of operational requirements"	Clarity.	A
210	CADD	A	2.03	3.b.	27	Change to read "At the geographic combatant commander or subordinate joint force commander level,"	Consistency with paragraph page 2.04 paragraph (1) (b).	×
21'	USA	A	2.03	3.b.	27	Change to read "At the geographic combatant commander or subordinate joint force commander level,"	Consistency with paragraph page 2.04 paragraph (1) (b).	A – same as above comment
212	J7	>	2,03	3b	27	Change to read as follows: "At the subordinate joint force or geographic combatant commander levels, targeting is the process"	Correctness.	M - See comment below
219	USFK	N	2.03	3.b	28.29	combatant commander level, targeting is the process of selecting, prioritizing, and identifying the desired effects on targets.	geographic combatant commanders select, prioritize and identify desired effects. STRATCOM matches targets with weapon systems.	≯
220	STRATCOM	Z	2.03		29.30	Remove the sentence, "The purpose of targeting at the strategic level is to select targets in support of the nation's nuclear war plans."	The paragraph includes an unneeded reference that could be mistaken that strategic = nuclear. The reference is removed.	A
22	USAF	S	2.03	36	30	Change to read: "in support of the nation's National strategic goals.nuclear-war plans"	Correctness. Strategic level targeting is not limited to nuclear war plans.	M - The rational is exactly correct, however, this statement adds little and is removed.
22	USAES-DEI	co.	2.03	:b	32	Change to read: "Targeting includes the analysis of an adversary situation relative to the commander's mission, objectives, and resources at the commander's disposal, as well as the identification and nomination of specific vulnerabilities that, if exploited, accomplish the commander's purpose through delaying, disrupting, disabling, or destroying critical	Reason: Nuclear operations are obviously capable of causing tremendous environmental damage. For that reason it is more	92

cc312fc.doc

cc312fc.doc

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

22	0.0ITEN	ITEM
	#	*
USAF	SOURCE	SOURCE
vo .	TYPE	TYPE
2.03	PAGE	PAGE
3Ь	PARA	PARA
32.36	LINE	LINE
adversary forces or resources. Targeting decisions must also consider environmental considerations and impacts IAW JP 3-0. JP 3-34, and JP 4-04. Finally, targeting is accomplished IAW international law, international agreements and conventions, and rules of engagement approved by the President and Secretary of Defense." President and Secretary of Defense." Change to read: Targeting includes the analysis of an adversary situation relative to the commander's mission, objectives and resources at the commander's purpose through capture_neutralizing_deceiving_delaying, disrupting_disabiling_or relative to the identification and nomination of specific vulnerabilities that, if exploited, accomplish the commander's purpose through capture_neutralizing_deceiving_delaying, disrupting_disabiling_or relative to the commander.	COMMENT	COMMENT
(not less) important to include specific mention of the requirement to integrate environmental considerations. JP 3-0, Doctrine for Joint Operations, mandates appropriate integration of environmental concerns. See page III-34. The JP states, inp part, that "JFC's are responsible for protecting the environment in which US military forces operate to the maximum extent possible." JP 3-34 covers Engineer Doctrine for Joint Operations and includes dozens of references to the need for solid environmental integration during all Joint Operations. JP 4-04 covers Joint Doctrine for Civil Engineering Support. It dedicates an entire chapter (see Chapter VI) to environmental integration in joint operations. Consistency with text in JP 3-60, Joint Doctrine for Targeting, Pg I-2, para 3.b.	RATIONALE	RATIONALE
	(NB/M)	
	NON	DECISION (A/R/M)

DECISION (A/R/M)

SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE

ITEM

7k

		25 25 04/30/03						c312fc.doc
A - same as	Consistency with page II- 7, para I.e. in JP 3-60, Ioint Doctrine for	Change to read: "Mission Planning and Force Execution.	7		2.05	0	Cons	
		use, and execution."			3		TICAE	2
		transmission, specific mission planning and material						
A	Completeness,	Change to read as follows: "(e) Mission Planning and Execution. Involves final tasking order preparation and	7	3b(1)(e)	2.05	X	37	23
	into weapon selection.	consequence of execution, and calculate desired ground zeros.						
Þ		effects are translated into weapon recommendations and	•	·	-			·
	sub paragraph headings.	Canabilities Anolysis Commandair 11		3.h.l.c	2.05	2	USFK	230
	figure reflects the same	18 see c.					-	
A - Same as above	-2	Process", delete Targeting Cycle Phases from the top of the		2				
	suo paragraph headings.	Change title of Figure II-2 to read "Nivelege Townstine		Fig II-	2.05	A	USA	229
	largeting Process. The figure reflects the same	Alban .						
A	Paragraph (1) on page 2- 04 is titled Nuclear	Process", delete Targeting Cycle Phases from the top of the		2		,		
	Doctrine for Targeting	Change List 170	-	F	205		CADD	22
A	Consistency with Fig II-1, page II-2 in JP 3-60, Joint	Execution.	70.4.1		1	ţ		-
	Doctrine for Targeting	Change Item St. and W.C.	73 03		205	S	USAF	22:
10	page II-2 in JP 3-60, Joint							
A	Consistency with Fig II-1	Change title to read: Joint Targeting Cycle Phases	F2.02		2.05	S	USAF	224
	**	diversary multary-torees <u>capabilities</u> and <u>means of support</u> and their means of support for attack."						
	just military forces.	development focuses on identifying and nominating critical			-		-	
A	Strategic nuclear targeting	Validation, Nomination, and Prioritization, Target Development,	1	(q / 1/2/2/2	1			
	nuclear planning	theater nuclear planning.		2M17	2	≼	J7	223
25	the theaters for theater	component commanders provide additional guidance for		×				
,	USSTRATCOM does not	guidance for strategic planning, while geographic combatant		-				
A	The commander	The commander, USSTRATCOM, provides additional target	37	3.b.1.a	2.04	3	USFK	7.2
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	12	FAKA	1200		TIEST CONCE	3
		COMPAT	TINE	b/D/	PACE	HAVE I	SOURCE	0.01TEN #

DECISION (A/R/M)

cc312fc.doc

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

*

	much last middles forms							
	justification for	Tourner villed milasuucule migeis.						
	attorneys do not believe "countervalue" targeting (especially as defined in	required to implement a eountervalue critical infrastructure strategy, because counterforce targets are generally harder, more protected, difficult to find, and more mobile than						
Δ	Many operational law	Change to read, "accurate than the forces and weapons	13.15		2.06	С	STRATCOM	24
A - Same as below target	Grammar	At the end of the line add are after "weapons system" and before "more"	12		2.06	>	2	
A - Same as above target	Editorial.	Cnange as iollows: " weapon systems are more"			00.3	2		
A	Grammar	on systems are more"	12		2.06	>	USAF	23
n.	have been used is "CBRN wcapons."							
Joint Staff recommendatio	is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should	air defense installations, C2 centers, and CBRN weapon storage facilities."						
R – CBRN has been replaced	"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD"	Change to read as follows: "Typical counterforce targets include bomber bases, ballistic missile submarine bases, intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) sibe antiballistic and	o)	2.00	0	,	
A	See comments below – countervalue targeting violates LOAC.	Change from countervalue to critical infrastructure.	11-3 11-3		2.06	2	SIRALCOM	3 6
		countervalue targets.					MODITA	3
		designed yields and more accurate weapon systems than the forces and weapons required to implement a countervalue strategy, because counterforce targets are generally harder, more protected, difficult to find, and more mobile than		(8)(2)				
A	Clarity. Parallelism.	Change to read: Generally, the nuclear forces required to		38.	2.06	>	USMC	231
	acronym is not used again in pub, so spell it out.	and Procedures for"						
	for rangering.	Change to read: " ID 2.01 I ITTD Init Touting Trans-	72		205	A	USMC	23
Þ	consistency with Fig 11-2, pg II-8 and text on pg II-9 in JP 3-60, Joint Doctrine	composed of two segments three interrelated components: battle damage assessment, munitions effectiveness assessment, and reattack recommendation	14			(
N. NORMAN	Targeting	Change in the latest and the latest	3		205	a	HASII	22
(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT		1 ANA	IAGE			

ITEM # SOURCE TYPE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)
PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

- Indiana	violates LOAC.	Targeting. Gountervalue Critical infrastructure targeting strategy directs the destruction or neutralization of selected adversary military and military-related activities forces and their means of support, such as industries, resources, and institutions that contribute to an adversary's ability to wage war. In general, weapons required to implement this strategy are not as numerous or accurate as those required to implement a counterforce targeting strategy, because countervalue critical infrastructure targets are generally softer and unprotected in relation to counterforce targets.				(
ition ivilian litary and justify s on l-military lons refore "military of the conflict ervalue dermines that the lian foster the in the onflict ple, rvalue y, the orld wers on stifled bove	makes no distinction between purely civilian activities and military related activities, and could be used to justify deliberate attacks on civilians and non-military portions of a nations economy. It therefore cannot meet the "military necessity" prong of the Law of Armed Conflict (LOAC). Countervalue targeting also undermines one of the values that underlies LOAC – the reduction of civilian suffering and to foster the ability to maintain the peace after the countervalue target philosophy, the attack on the World Trade Center Towers on 9/11 could be justifled. See comments above –	Change to read, "(b) Countervalue Critical Infrastructure	17.23		706		STRATCOM	
lacanhu	Countervalue philosophy	Contractor	Enve	FARA	PAGE	TAKE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN#

RATIONALE

(A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

COMMENT

ITEM

72

SOURCE

TYPE

PAGE PARA

LINE

0.01TEN # 24 24 24 24 14 NSU <u>.</u>3 SOURCE USA CADD **J**362 57 SID S Z TYPE Þ > PAGE 2.06 2.06 2.07 2.07 2.07 (d,c,f) 3b(2)(c PARA 3b2c 3 3 LINE 4.13 25 17 90 7 15.18 COMMENT "layering" and "cross-targeting" are target defeat mechanisms targeting planning considerations for theater nuclear planning used by STRATCOM to ensure target defeat. They are not geographically." Question: Why delete the words either functionally or geographically." Question: Why delete the words either functionally or planning provide a foundation for adaptive and crisis action contingencies. Plans and options developed during deliberate with the capability to rapidly respond to preplanned President, Secretary of Defense, and Combatant Commanders deliberately developed nuclear plans and options provide the coordinated, complex planning for nuclear contingencies. The Execution Community in the methodical development of fully commanders and staffs of the entire Joint Planning and planning is a highly structured process that engages Replace paragraph with, "(f) Deliberate Planning. Deliberate in which more than ... methodology target defeat mechanisms used by STRATCOM Change line 4 to read, "Layering. Layering is a imgetting Change to read: "..the theater?s theater nuclear option ... " the overall targeting strategy." Strategic nuclear tFargets are normally prioritized based upon Change to read as follows: "(c) Prioritization of Targets. guidance added later in the paragraph. considerations within the represent target planning RATIONALE commander is CDR planning" brings JP 3-12 "preplanned options" with paragraph. Replacing "preplanned options" Doctrine. Deliberate cross targeting do not Doctrine. Layering and Deletes incorrect word. Consistency with the STRATCOM the commander is CDR STRATCOM the contained in JP 5-0. planning process in line with the JOPES theater targeting process The functional combatant Commander is someone Geographic Combatant The functional combatant the term "deliberate described in the original IAW JP 5-0 to develop planning is conducted Commander is someone Geographic Combatant # 15 A > methodology STRATCOM D (A/R/M) A - specify that DECISION explains rational below A-USAF decision explains rational below A - USAF decision

else.

ITEM SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

	251	25	256	24.	0.01TEN #
	J-3	J-3	J7	USAF	SOURCE
	W	X	W	Z	TYPE
	2.07	2.07	2.07	2.07	PAGE
			3b(3)(e)		PARA
	20.22	20	19	17.18	LINE
	Delete first sentence of paragraph.	Replace the paragraph title with "Adaptive and Crisis Action Planning"	Change to read as follows: "(e) Nonstate actors (their facilities and operation centers that possess CBRN weapons)."	Change to read: expand the attack either functionally or geographically or both.	COMMENT
andreas members.	Clarity. As written, this sentence leads one to believe that emerging targets only appear after an "initial laydown of nuclear wearons."	Reference to Crisis Action planning brings this paragraph in line with JP 5-0. Emergent targets are planned for using adaptive and/or crisis action planning procedures.	"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD" throughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should have been used is "CBRN weapons."	Reinstate wording that was deleted here. Without the phrase, the impression is left that the attack can only expanded physically into a larger geographic area. The attack can also be expanded into functional areas (transportation hubs, oil, power generation, etc) to achieve the desired effects. This does not add confusion (rationale for deleted). On the contrary, it provides additional options for targeting strategies.	RATIONALE
Man intrinery	R - Sentence says emerging target may appear after initial laydown.	×	R – CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation.	>	DECISION (A/R/M)

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

#2

SOURCE

73>	Doctrine. Replaces current paragraph with the JP 1-02 definition of nuclear collateral damage as well as explains the responsibilities	Replace paragraph with, "Nuclear Collateral Damage. Nuclear collateral damage is defined as undesired damage or casualties produced by the effects from friendly nuclear weapons. Commanders and staffs responsible for developing nuclear plans must consider avoidance of collateral damage as they develop their strike options. Specific techniques for reducing collateral damage include reducing weapon yield improving	31.33		2.07	Z	ដ	
M – See comment below.	accurate statement of law and policy on collateral damage	Change to read:" Collateral Damage. US forces attempt to limit collateral damage consistent with the Law of Armed Conflict and to the extent consistent with employment purposes and desired effect on the target. US nuclear weapons have been designed to minimize collateral damage."	31	(b)	2.07	89	CJCS/LC	
>	Consistency with the title of the paragraph.	Change to read as follows: "(1) Nonstrategic Nuclear Force Integration. JP 3-12.1, Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning, (S) provides guidance for nonstrategic nuclear force employment integration."	28	4a(1)	2.07	S	J7	
>		Replace a pool of forces with resources	25		2.07	>	USN	
M - Changed in above comment	Don't use "ad hoc" not doctrinal correct.	Change to read:" This capability includes planning for and being able to perform adaptive planning on"	24	(8)	2.07	S	USA	1
M - Changed in above comment	Don't use "ad hoc" not doctrinal correct.	Change to read:" This capability includes planning for and being able to perform adaptive planning on"	24	(g)	2.07	S	CADD	- 0.00
>	Doctrine. Replaces the concept of "ad hoc" planning with the doctrinally accepted terms of adaptive and crisis action planning.	Change to read, "This eapability includes planning for and being able to perform "ad hoe" Adaptive and Crisis Action Planning procedures contained in JP 5-0 and CJCS Emergency Action Procedures provide commanders with the procedures for conducting planning on newly-identified emerging targets.	23.24		2.07	3	č	A STATE OF THE STA
A	Accuracy and consistency with JP 1-02.	Change "follow on" to "follow-on"	21	38.	2.07	>	USMC	
savvy audience will not come to the conclusion that emerging targets only appear after initial laydown.								
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0112.7 #

cc312fc.doc

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM #

SOURCE

3,12-1	Sec 6 above.	Change to read: "Commanders must estimate the number and	43	3b(2)	2.07	Ū	COLUMN TO THE	
3,12-1					2	2	USAES-DEI	3,5
3,12-1	for nuclear weapons).							
	_							
explained in								
further	_							
damage is	ing. It is not	damage criteria (severe, moderate) to the paragraph.	1000					
R - nuclear	Clarity and	Recommend adding a description of the levels of nuclear	42		2.07	S	J-3	26
etc)								
Policy NODD								
Out in national								_
n) and is spenicu								
t) and is smalled	collinsing.							
CICC/Precident	COM SITTED OF							
anthority								N/C
rargeting comes	is directed by the	supported geographic combatant commander.						,_
V - Macical	Nomially, damage criteria	These criteria are normally identified by the president of	39.41	3.0.2.1	2.07	0	CSFX	264
Nicolana	-			2		,		
Comment octub								
comment hains	•				202			
shove 13		and nob sciednon.						
ORE dise to	Compicted are allougue	and HOD calestian "	33	(1)	2.07	U	Neo	10.
Comment		Add w Description of DCZ	2	2	3	2	4 201	1
accented come								
comment being	•				000	51		
above J3						90		_
OBE due to		and HOB selection."	ç	(11)	1.0	Ç		24
R - Comment	ng ht	Add. " Preclusion of collateral damage is a function of DGZ	#	€	207	n	CADD	36
accented comes	damage associated with							() *
Comment being	-		2000					
dua to above 13	108	ocen designed to minimize collateral damage.				15		77
K-J3	_	Recommend deletion of sentence, "US nuclear weapons have	32.33		2.07	(A)	J-3	26
	-	(S).						
		Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning,				Š.		_
		Detailed discussion of these techniques and collateral damage						
	collateral damage.	height of burst, and offsetting the desired ground zero (DGZ).						
	planning to limit	accuracy, employing multiple smaller weapons, adjusting the			y.			
(A/R/M)		Contribute		1 AMA	1700	F	SOONCE	0.01163
DECISION	RATIONALE	COMMENT	INF	PARA	AU VA	TVDE	SOURCE	

cc312fc.doc

ITEM 78t: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

	27(269 USAF	268 EUCOM	26' USFK		0.0ITEN # SOC
	J7 S	X	S W	X		SOURCE
	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08		TE TAGE
	3b(3)(a)		(3)	3.b.3	Э	FANA
	9	6.7	Un	2.22		
	Change to read as follows: "(a) CBRN weapons, associated delivery systems, C2, production, and logistic support units."	Change to read: Considering these target selection factors, possible adversary military forces and their means of support targets are:	Comment: The paragraph leads the readers to believe that a set of factors will be listed that will "determine appropriateness of a target for nuclear weapon employment as well as specific weapon and delivery system selection". No such factors are listed. Instead, a set of potential targets is listed. Recommended Change: Insert the following as the third sentence in the paragraph: "These factors are: target hardness/ability to survive conventional strikes, size of target, geology/depth of target (for underground targets), desired level of damage, target defenses, proximity to populated areas, mobile/stationary target, potential for collateral damage."	delete this entire section.	characteristics of the weapons and delivery systems required to achieve the level of desired damage to designated targets while minimizing undesirable collateral effects and environmental damage."	COMMENI
The second secon	"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD" throughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should have been used is "CBRN weanons."	The adversary targets may not necessarily be military forces and the means of their support. The list itself includes non-state actors, and there are many examples of adversary non-military resources on target lists.	Clarification—provides readers with a list of factors for weapon-target match-up.	This section belongs in chapter III, theater nuclear planning. Also, these aren't target selection criteria, they are more a list of targets to be selected.		KATIONALE
	R - CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation:	A - adversary targets is descriptive enough.	` 	R - Acceptance of EUCOM comment above clarifies paragraph.		(A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

_		discussion is appropriate.							L	
	helong here.	, <u>-</u> -	Change to read: "Non-traditional assets, such as dual-capable aircraft, are available to provide a full spectrum of responses.	30		20.5	V	COAF		
1	A	s) goes	Change to read: and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning (S), provides guidance for nonstrategic nuclear	29	4a(1)	2.08	>	USMC	1 2	27
	A	Clarity/consistency.	Replace "nonstrategic" with "theater."	28.29		2.08	≯	J-3	7	27
	A - see above comment	If not change the wording to show JP 3-12.1 is used for both.	Question: Does this still mean we have two targeting mythologies? Nonstrategic JP 3-12.1 (old FM 101-31-2) and APP 550 for strategic."	28	4.a. (1)	2.08	>	USA	7	271
			Change to read, "(1) Nonstrategic Nuclear Force Integration. JP 3-12.1, Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning, (S) provides additional guidance for nonstrategic nuclear force employment."							
-	i _A	If not change the wording to show JP 3-12.1 is used for both.	Question: Does this still mean we have two targeting mythologies? Nonstrategic JP 3-12.1 (old FM 101-31-2) and APP 550 for strategic."	28	(±)	2.08	A	CADD	- 7	27
(u	M- incorporated into the above comment	Completes CBRN targets.	Add new paragraph: "(g) WMD storage facilities."	23	3b2g	2.08	co.	J362	7	27/
-	A	Completeness and clarity.	Change as follows: "Underground facilities, to include nuclear storage, non-nuclear storage, and hardened ICBM missile launch control centers."	22		2.08	S	6 USJFCOM	27: 36	2
	R - a terrorist is a non-state actor	ore	Change to read: "non-state actors or terrorists"	19		2.08	S	USAF	7.	2
	A	JSCP Guidance.	Ensure that these target types conform with JSCP guidance.	9.22		2.08	S	J-3	27	2
	DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	#	0.01TEN
2 8	DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	78t:	ITEM
		Diait)	7 14, DOCE 118 101 001	7.000	Once Court mode to or				4	

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

#±

SOURCE

28	286	0.0ITEN#
USA	USFK	# SOURCE
S	>	TYPE
2.08	2.08	PAGE
(2)	4.a.2	PARA
33 .34	32.36	LINE
Eliminate the sentence "For many contingencies, conventional capabilities meet all known requirements."	(2) Conventional/Nuclear Force Integration. Integration of conventional and nuclear forces is crucial to the overall strategy. For many contingencies, conventional capabilities may be particularly useful to limit collateral damage and danger of escalation. It must be understood how integration of nuclear and conventional forces will affect the overall strategy. (3) Strategic Nuclear Force Integration. Integration of conventional and nuclear forces is crucial to the overall strategy. For many contingencies, conventional capabilities meet all know requirements. Conventional capabilities meet all know requirements. Conventional capabilities meet all know requirements and damage and danger of escalation. It must be understood how integration of nuclear forces will affect the overall strategy. (3) Strategic Nuclear Force Integration. To make the most efficient use of the nation's strategic assets and to maximize combat power, USSTRATCOM accomplishes strategic nuclear operations through the integration of US and allied strategic assets. Integration of forces exploits the full range of characteristics offered by US strategic nuclear forces to support national and regional deterrence objectives."	COMMENT
The sentence does not fit in to the context of the paragraph. While conventional capabilities may achieve theater/national objectives, the integration of nuclear capabilities	These sentences describe an important concept, the integration of conventional and nuclear forces. They descrives to be a separate paragraph.	RATIONALE
R – above comment should eliminate some confusion	M - Clarifies the believed intent of the comment	(A/R/M)

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM

*#:

SOURCE

cc312fc.doc	29¢ USA	289 USA		281 EU	28. J-3	28(USN	28: 37 US	284 USAF	28: US	28		0.0ITEN # SO
	S	δ.		EUCOM	Ø	2	USJFCOM A	AF A	USMC A	37 S		SOURCE T
	2.09	2.09		2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08	2.08		TYPE PAGE
	(2)	(2) SNF		4.a.(2). (a)	2				4 _a (2)(a)	4a(2)		E PARA
				44	44	#	43	43	42	36		LINE
as of 04/28/03	Incorporate the concepts of passive defense in to the paragraph on Offensive and Defensive Integration.	be some discussion of the policy concept of swell as an expanded discussion of retaliation.	nuclear operations". Recommended Change: "Aircraft delivered weapons also provide strike capabilities across the entire range of nuclear operations."	Comment: Currently reads "Aircraft delivered weapons also provide precision strike capability across the entire range of	Eliminate 'precision strike."	Delete: entire	Change as follows: " resolve and are recallable,"	Change to read: " and are recallable"	Change to read: (a) Nuclear-capable aircraft	Change to read as follows: "To make the most efficient use of the nation's strategic assets and to maximize combat power, CDR USSTRATCOM accomplishes strategic nuclear operations through the integration of US and allied strategic assets."		COMMENT
ď	Passive defense measures are a key to the New Triad.	This reflects current ** mational security strategy and should be contained in any discussion of Joint nuclear doctrine.	nuclear strike capability in the inventory.	Enhance accuracy — there is no current precision	Nuclear capable aircraft may have many advantages. Accuracy (as compared to other systems) is not one of them.	Unnecessary adjective, "range of nuclear operations" stands alone	Editorial.	Grammar	Correct punctuation.	Correctness.	with conventional capabilities may be the factor that ensures the attainment of the objective.	RATIONALE
Page 43 of 75	R — The concept of nassive defense	R - not appropriate for an unclassified pub.		A - Same as the above comment	l <mark>à></mark>	A	ASame as above	A	A	>		DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

_	TIEM # SOURCE LYPE PAGE PARA LINE	TEM L COUNCE TOWN
	PARA	
	LINE	
	COMMENT	The state of the s
	RATIONALE	Tint)
 (A/R/M)	DECISION	

			probable error height of burst. Error in height of burst that projectile and/or missile fuzes may be expected to exceed as often as not. (JP 1-02)							
	V		prelaunch survivability. The probability that a delivery and/or launch vehicle will survive an enemy attack under an established condition of warning. (JP 1-02)							· · · · · ·
			Add the following to the glossary: circular error probable. An indicator of the delivery accuracy of a weapon system, used as a factor in determining probable damage to a target. It is the radius of a circle within which half of a missile's projectiles are expected to fall, (JP 1- 02)							
	₩>	Terms listed may be unfamiliar to readers. Need to explain how these factors influence integration of strategic forces.	Recommend addition of the terms prelaunch survivability (PLS), probable error in height of burst (PEH), probability to penetrate (PTP), weapons system reliability (WSR), and circular error probable (CEP) to the glossary. Also, should explain why these factors are important to consider during integration of strategic forces.	9.11		2.09	· w			
. Alexander of the control of the co	>	The effect of recallability of SSBNs is little different than an adjustment of alert lovel of ICBM or an aircraft recall (or bombers taken off alert). The point here is the signal sent and effect desired from those deployments and changes in readiness levels of the strike capabilities portion of the triad.	Change to read: As a sign of national resolve and readiness, the numbers of ICBMs on alert may be increased and SSBNs may be deployed to dispersal locations. Additionally, sending SSBNs, which are also recallable, to dispersal locations is a sign of national resolve.	5.6		2.09	Σ.	USAF	29	
and GMD are both mentioned	and C					2			3	
DECISION (A/R/M)	DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.01 (E.N #	

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

#:

SOURCE

<u> </u>	Accuracy	Change as follows: Land, Air, Maritime, and Space, and Special Operations Forces	F2.04		2.10	S	USN	303	, tu
Þ	Correctness	Change the title of the graphic to "Planning Considerations" vice "Offensive-Defensive Integration".		Fig.	2.10	Z	USA	30	tu tu
A	Clarity. Eliminates potential implication of break in line of command requirements	Change as follows: "President of and Secretary"	39		2.09	S	38 USJFCOM		
A	Defenses will only enhance, not ensure security.	Substitute the word "enhancing" for the word "ensuring".	35	9	2.09	S	USA		
A – same as above	Completes the offensive and defensive thought.	Change to read: "limitation potential to US warfighting capabilities. Defensive and Offensive Information Operations as described in JP3-13 expands the integration of offensive and defensive capabilities.	21	(2)	2.09	S	USA	291	
>	Completes the offensive and defensive thought.	Change to read: "limitation potential to US warfighting capabilities. Defensive and Offensive Information Operations as described in JP3-13 expands the integration of offensive and defensive capabilities.	21	(2)	2.09	(X)	CADD	29	
M - fixed in above comment	First use of the acronym for ballistic missile defense.	Spell out "BMD".	19	(2)	2.09	>	USA	290	
A	This paragraph concerns strategic offensive and defensive integration.	Change to read as follows: "Defensive systems include space warning, air defense warning and interceptors, ballistic missile defense (BMD) warning, and a worldwide integrated tactical warning and attack assessment (ITW/AA) system. Active warning and attack assessment (ITW/AA) system. Active theater BMD interception capabilities add an additional defense capability. These systems, coupled with additional passive defense measures, offer a damage limitation potential to US warfighting capabilities."	16	48(2)	2.09	V	,	293	
A – same as above	Correctness	Change subparagraph numbering from (2) to (3).	14	(2)	2.09	>	USA	294	
A	Correctness.	Renumber as 4a(3). Renumber succeeding.	14	4a(2)	2.09	A	J7	29.	
		probability to penetrate. Depth that projectile and/or missile fuzes may be expected to penetrate as often as not. (Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will be included in JP 1-02.)							
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #	110.0
NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.									

MALI 31: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

A- Change to highlighted text	Doctrinal/correctness.	Rewrite this paragraph to reflect the intent of the paragraph "Planning Considerations." As written, this subparagraph discusses the movement or employment of US land, air, maritime or Special Operations forces through areas that have a high probability of being targeted with adversary nuclear weapons. This is a defensive focus and is not relative to considerations for planning the use of US nuclear weapons. The intent of the paragraph should be the planning considerations of how nuclear forces/operations are integrated with US land, air, maritime or Special Operations forces. All US forces are potential targets for adversary nuclear weapons.	16.21	ç	2.10	M	USA	30
D>	Accuracy and clarity. International law safeguards and governs the airspace rights of sovereign nations. It is critical, therefore, to include the airspace rights of non-hostile nations as a planning consideration of flight corridors.	Change to read: "(b) Overflight, ICBM and SLBM flight corridors may traverse the territory and airspace of other nuclear-powers govereign nations only when permitted under international law. Consideration must be made with regard-to their-response. As a matter of national policy and pursuant to international law, the United States respects the airspace rights of non-hostile, sovereign nations. Overflight plans must be carefully reviewed to ensure compliances with international law."	13.14		2.10	X	USMC	30
i>	Clarity.	Change to read as follows: "These routes must avoid areas scanned by defenses to reduce potential execution against engagement of friendly aircraft."	10	4a(3) (a)	2.10	S	J7	30
A	"Strategic" adjective used earlier in the sentence, don't need to restate.	Change to read: affecting both strategie aircraft and missile flyout	۲.	(3)(a)	2.10	>	USAF	30:
>	Accuracy and clarity. International law safeguards and governs the airspace rights of sovereign nations. It is critical, therefore, to include the airspace rights of non-hostile nations as a planning consideration of flight corridors.	Change to read: "(a) Flight Corridors. Flight corridors must comply with international law governing airspace rights of non-hostile sovereign nations. In addition, Since strategic nuclear forces could occupy the same flight corridors simultaneously, affecting both strategic aircraft and missile flyout over friendly territory, it is imperative flight corridors are deconflicted and force employment is synchronized."	4.7		2.10	Z	USMC	30
A	Administrative	Change subparagraph numbering from (3) to (4).	2	(3)	2.10	Α	USA	30
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #

ITEM # SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

		have the greatest potential for nuclear detonations as the result	_					
M - Changed in above	Clarity and understanding	GENERAL COMMENT: I don't understand the purpose of this text as written particularly in context with the more inclusive subject of "planning considerations.: The two sentences seem disjointed at best. The first sentence seems to make some sense although it could probably be simplified (Land, air, maritime, and special operations forces employment into or through an area with a high probability of adversary nuclear-warheads or nuclear delivery systems must be avoided to the maximum extent practical, joint operations into or through an area with a high concentration of nuclear warheads or delivery systems should be avoided. Additionally, no justification has been provided on why they should be avoided - other than the obvious. The second sentence doesn't make sense at all	16.21 2.21		2.10	N		
A- Change in above comment	As written, this paragraph discusses the movement of friendly forces into areas that have a high probability of attack by enemy nuclear weapons. This is a NBC defensive focus and not relevant to considerations for planning the use of US nuclear weapons. NBC defense issues are contained in JP 3-11.	Recommend this paragraph be rewritten to focus on the planning considerations of how nuclear forces/operations are integrated with land, air, maritime, and special forces operations.	16.21		2.10	X	ີ່.	30
		Change to read, "(c) Land, Air, Maritime, and Special Operations Forces. To the maximum extent practical, joint land, air, maritime, and special operations forces employment into or through an area with a high concentration of nuclear warheads or delivery systems should be avoided to the maximum extent practical. Conversely, nuclear weapon use in areas where friendly joint forces are operating should be carefully planned to prevent damage to friendly forces."						
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.01TEN #

ITEŅI #± SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | COMM RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

		Change in figure II-4 also	=			=		
A	Accuracy	Replace: command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence with C41SR	5.06		2.11	S	USN	314
A - Put into USA comment above	This is strategic nuclear force integration. The US has no operational capability to intercept ballistic missiles. Per JP 1-01, joint doctrine is written to reflect existing capabilities. If what is being discussed is cruise missile, then reword paragraph accordingly.	Change to read as follows: "Depending on the location of forces, the commander can use the IPP data to: move threatened forces to safer locations (time permitting), execute intercept of adversary missiles, or allow a missile to reach its predicted impact point when the missile is expected to detonate in a noncritical area (e.g., desolate, uninhabited land or unoccupied waters)."	24	4a(3) (d)	2.10	. 3		L L
M incorporates J7 comment below	IPP warning systems may include maritime systems as well as ground and space systems. Does a real time capability exist to provide IPP information? Movement of threatened forces would be extremely difficult; warning them so that they may take defensive measures may be a more accurate reflection of actual capabilities.	Rewrite this paragraph to read "Ground, maritime and space systems can provide the commander near real time IPP information following the launch of adversary missiles. Depending on the location of forces, the commander can use the IPP data to warn and prepare threatened forces, execute intercept of adversary missiles, or" Change to read, "Ground, maritime and space systems can provide the commander near real time IPP information following the launch of adversary missiles. Depending on the location of forces, the commander can use the IPP data to: move threatened forces to safer locations (time permitting) execute intercept of adversary missiles, or allow a missile to reach its predicted impact point when the missile is expected to detonate in a noncritical area (e.g., desolate, uninhabited land or unoccupied waters)."	22.28	£-	2.10	≤	USA	
M - Changes in above comment	Clarity and correctness.	of attack operations or defensive intercepts." Are we trying to infer that we should avoid joint operations in these areas because they could trigger explosions? Change to read as follows: "These Operations in these-areas may include high-payoff targets and have the greatest potential for nuclear detonations as the result of attack operations or defensive intercepts."	19	4a(3) (c)	2.10	>	J7	31
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.01TEN #

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

		ITEM # SOURCE TYPE PAGE
		GE PARA
		LINE
		COMMENT
		RATIONALE
	(A/R/M)	DECISION

315	□	31	311	31:	0.0ITEN
					78tz
57	J7	J6	J-3	37	SOURCE
3	>	S	S	×	TYPE
2.11	2.11	2.11	2.11	2.11	PAGE
8	4a(3) (g)			4a(3) (f)	PARA
38	34	27	27	9	LINE
Change to read as follows: "b. Employment. Basic employment considerations are closely tied to the capabilities of assigned	Change to read as follows: "This decision-making process must correlate offensive rapid decision-making capabilities."	Change "All CAISR nodes" to read "Some CAISR nodes"	Change to read, "Critical C4ISR nodes require"	Change to read as follows: "(f) Decision Timelines. Decision makers Decisionmakers are required to review and select defensive and offensive actions within severely compressed timelines because of the short flight time of theater missiles and potentially increased uncertainty of mobile offensive force target locations."	COMMENT
Consistency with Chapter II, para 2c. Consistency	In accordance with the latest guidance from the Joint Staff Editors: Decision makers and decision making are two words when used as a noun. Decision-making is hyphenated when not used as a noun. Track this fix throughout the pub.	Not all C4ISR nodes require survivable communications. The communications should only be as survivable as the node it supports. i.e. only ground nodes that withstand the nuclear blast and satellites require radiation hardening protection.	Only critical nodes require this degree of survivability.	In accordance with the latest guidance from the Joint Staff Editors: Decision makers and decision making are two words when used as a noun. Decision-making is hyphenated when not used as a noun. Track this fix throughout the pub. Delete last sentence; this paragraph is not about theater force integration.	RATIONALE
la la	>	M - changed to critical C4ISR nodes below.	A	>≥	DECISION (A/R/M)

ITEM * SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

commands,		d. Rapid Response Capability to Theater Crisis e. Retaliation Capability to Use of Adversary WMD						
M—an extra paragraph has been added that expands the role of specific platforms which better define the role of nuclear operations within regional combatant	Better defines the actual role of US theater nuclear operations.				3.0	×	USA	32
De:	SEDCDEF preference to avoid the use of term "responsive force". Change reflects work of OSD working group on responsive capability.	Change Responsive Force as follows: "Augmentation Capability."	F2.13		2.13.	CO.	15	32/
>	A potential threat may be better deterred with changing weapon load out or weapon design/type.	Change to read "Uploading or Modifying Weapons on".	F2.05		2.13	S	USAF	32:
>.	Strategic, non-traditional, tactical, etc force readiness levels carry similar categorizations. No need to be specific regarding strategic forces here.	Change to read: Strategie-nuclear Nuclear force readiness levels are categorized as operationally-deployed or responsive. These two readiness levels provide strategie nuclear forces responsive to potential, immediate, and unexpected threats as depicted in Figure II-5.	16.19	25	2.12	W	USAF	32
> >	Grammar.	Change to read, "Options that which are very"			2.12	→ 0	J-3	32
	with Chapter I, para lc.	nuclear forces (i.e., weapons, delivery systems, and supporting systems under the COCOM of CDR USSTRATCOM and OPCON of the geographic combatant commanders). As addressed earlier, each leg of the strategic nuclear triad offers special characteristics that collectively provide a wide range of employment capabilities such as flexibility, effectiveness, survivability, and responsiveness."	4		2	0	USN	32
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #

ITEM # SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

יין ארורי					0.0ITEN
ha	329	32	32	324	EN#
	J.3	USN	37	J-3	SOURCE
	S	S	S	×	TYPE
	3.01	3.01	3.01	3.01	PAGE
			120		PARA
	17.28	17.19	10	00	LINE
	Eliminate or add. APOD/SPOD vulnerability and EMP are listed as friendly vulnerabilities to enemy nuclear use. Are these the only ones? How are they linked to our deterrence	Change as follows: Future adversaries may conclude they cannot defeat US military forces and thus, if they choose war, may reason their only chance of victory is CBRN use to pressure a US withdrawal or against ports and airfields to block reinforcements.	Change to read as follows: "a. Proliferation and US Vulnerabilities. While the end of the Cold War has lowered concerns for strategic nuclear war, proliferation of CBRN weapons raises the danger of nuclear weapons use. There are 30 countries with various CBRN weapons programs, including many rogue states. With continuing advances in science, information technology, and the unstoppable spread of knowledge, CBRN weapons proliferation is likely. (1) Future adversaries may conclude they cannot defeat US military forces and thus, if they choose war, may reason their only chance of victory is CBRN weapons use to pressure a US withdrawal or against ports and airfields to block reinforcements. (2) Another US vulnerability inviting CBRN weapons use is its reliance on computers and high-tech electronics, making the United States much more vulnerable to the EMP effects of airburst nuclear weapons."	Recommend inserting a paragraph that explains the "Role of Theater Nuclear Operations."	COMMENT
	Combine all elements of para 1 into a general and cohesive paragraph on the	Phrase is too limiting. They may have intentions other than pressuring for a withdrawal or blocking reinforcements. They may also target more than just ports or airfields.	"CBRN" has been used to replace "WMD" throughout the JP. WMD is a noun. CBRN is an adjective. What should have been used is "CBRN weapons."	A paragraph should be inscreed that details the role that theater nuclear plans/operation has in supporting theater conventional plans/campaign plans.	RATIONALE
	A Paragraph changed to	×	R - CBRN has been replaced with WMD per Joint Staff recommendation.	M - an extra paragraph has been added that expands the role of specific platforms which better define the role of nuclear operations within regional combatant	DECISION (A/R/M)

ITEM #: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

	To the Company							
A - paragraph reworded in above comment	orrect way to ere EMP Although can give off fEMP, it is tude option as the effect this	Change "air-burst nuclear weapons" to "nuclear weapons detonated at high altitude"	26	la(2)	3.01	ω	J-5 NAC	33
vulnerability is public record. See the 1997 House Committee on National security testimony by Curt Weldon.	of this ppear to icular y of US y of US a specific type ublication of ph should be a classified and deleted classified	Delete or regrade this paragraph. The security classification of this paragraph content as written appears to expose a critical vulnerability of US systems and is typically only found in classified documents.	24.28	(2)	3.01	X	USA	ພ
A - paragraph reworded in above comment	"CBRN" does not make sense in the context of EMP effects on electronics.	Recommend replacement of "CBRN" with "nuclear."	24		3,01	ß	1-3	33(
		Change to read, "(2) US military operations have become reliant on computers and high-tech electronics, making global and theater military operations much more vulnerable to the EMP effects of nuclear weapons detonated at high altitude. An adversary may conclude that just one high altitude nuclear detonation could wreak enormous damage to theater and global communications, computers, and weaponry electronic components, possibly reducing the US high-tech warfare advantage."		10 1				
more cohesive.	role of nuclear weapons in theater operations.	strategy? How is this linked to the THEATER strategy? Is this limited to WMD?					16.00	
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #

RATIONALE

DECISION

(A/R/M)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft) LINE

COMMENT

ITEM

72

SOURCE

0.0ITEN # 34 83 S S Li, S S S ယ္ယ S 8 39 USJFCOM USJFCOM USA 3 SOURCE USMC STRATCOM **J7** 17 S > \triangleright > 5 S S (2) TYPE 3.02 3.02 3.02 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 3.01 PAGE (a)-(h) Ġ 5 PARA w 2.3 25 45 28 40 30.34 LINE 26.27 weapons effects can safely destroy/incinerate (versus dispersed conventional forces cannot stop. Change to read as follows: "(a) An adversary using or Delete last sentence Delete the second quote. COMMENT into atmosphere with conventional munitions). (b) Imminent attack from adversary BWs that only nuclear alliance forces and/or innocent civilian populations that intending to use CBRN weapons against US/multinational against CBRN use," Change as follows: "necessary to prevent and punish retaliate already exists at line 40. essential US forces....and punish CBRN use." The same text Delete sentence "To maximize deterrence of CBRN use it is be prepared to employ nuclear weapons if necessary to prevent on the battlefield and against adversary CBRN. US forces must is essential US forces plan to use nuclear-weapons effectively CBRN weapons use. To maximize deterrence of CBRN use, it employ nuclear weapons if necessary to prevent and punish CBRN weapons, and that US forces appear determined to weapons effectively on the battlefield and against adversary weapons use, it is essential US forces prepare to use nuclear damage to theater and global communications ... Change as follows: "An adversary may conclude that Jjust and punish CBRN use." Change to read as follows: "To maximize deterrence of CBRN the US high-tech warfare advantage." Change to read, "...components, negating possibly reducing one high altitude nuclear detonation could wreak enormous weapons." Consistency have been used is "CBRN adjective. What should throughout the JP. WMD replace "WMD" is a noun. CBRN is an Clarity. additional information Eliminate redundant adjective. What should is a noun. CBRN is an throughout the JP. WMD replace "WMD" "CBRN" has been used to of the pub. If it important "CBRN" has been used to Does not contain Accuracy sentence weapons." have been used is "CBRN top of the page and delete enough replace it at the Adds nothing to the text Exact effects are not "theater and global" is communications; saying havoc on global the other one. detonation would wreak Clarity. One HA nuclear RATIONALE from previous sentence therefore redundant. with WMD per recommendatio > > removed R - sentence Þ > Joint Staff been replaced R - CBRN has recommendatio Joint Staff with WMD per R - CBRN has been replaced above comment reworded in A - paragraph (A/R/M) DECISION

as of 04/28/03

cc312fc,doc

Page 53 of 75

RATIONALE

DECISION

(A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

COMMENT

MET

*

SOURCE

TYPE | PAGE | PARA

LINE

0.0ITEN # 34 34 2 USA SOURCE S S TYPE PAGE 3.03 3.02 CHA PARA LINE 6.07 36 the glossary. populations." and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning (S) vulnerability will not be exceeded with a 99 percent assurance Recommend adding minimum safe distance (MSD), collateral targets (troop concentration). adversary conventional forces, to include mobile and area Change sentence to read "Counter potentially overwhelming weapons to deter adversary use of CBRN weapons. execute a CBRN attack) that could be employed against the weapons or the C2 infrastructure required for the adversary to and materiel to ensure with a 99 percent assurance that a 5 desired ground zero must be separated from civilian personnel Collateral Damage Distance. The minimum distance that a For more information see JP 3-12.1, Joint Tactics, Techniques ground zero at which a specific degree of personnel risk and Minimum Safe Distance. It is the distance from desired Add the following to the glossary damage distance (CDD), and least separation distance (LSD) to US/eealition/alliedmultinational forces and/or innocent civilian terrorist organizations against (h) Use of adversary-supplied CBRN weapons by third party (g) Demonstration of US intent and capability to use nuclear operations. (f) Ensure success of US, coalition, and allied multinational (e) Rapid and favorable war termination on US terms. forces. (d) Counter potentially overwhelming adversary conventional United States. deep, hardened bunkers containing chemical or biological COMMENT (c) Attacks limited to adversary CBRN weapons (e.g., against Clarifies terms. the entire range of targets, with JP 3-16. commanders may plan for Articulates that combatant Completeness. RATIONALE to include mobile and area highlighted 1> terms to the glossary (A/R/M) A - add the DECISION

ITEM SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE PAGE PARA LINE COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

### PARE PARE PARE PARE DRAWENT COMMENT COMMENT		process									_
SOURCE TYPE PAGE PARA LINE COMMENT COMMENT COMMENT RATIONALE		M - changes made to clear up who owns the TNO	Development of theater nuclear plans is a doctrinal responsibility of combatant commands.	Paragraphs (3) and (4) should be rewritten to reflect that the theater owns the TNO process and that USSTRATCOM, as a supporting command, assists the theater in the development of theater nuclear options.	9.21	34	3.03	W	USA	34:	
SOURCE TYPE PAGE PARA LINE COMMENT RATIONALE percent incidence of injuries or property damage will not be exceeded. For more information see JP 3-12.1, Joint Tacries, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning (8). Least Separation Distance (LSD). The minimum distance that a desired ground zero must be separated from an object to ensure no more than a 10 percent incidence of damage or obstacles with 99 percent assurance. For more information see JP 3-12.1, Joint Tacries, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning (8). Ji (1) Loint Tacries, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning (8). Change to read as follows: "(3) As the Plan Manager, CDR USSTRATOOM develops TNOs against facilities selected by the supported gengalist commander throughout the planning process to include:" Change to read as follows: "(3) As the Plan Manager, CDR USSTRATOOM develops TNOs against facilities selected by the supported gengalist commander throughout the planning process to include:" Change to read as follows: "(3) As the Plan Manager, CDR USSTRATOOM provides nuclear expertise to the supported gengalist commander. CDR USSTRATOOM provides nuclear expertise to the supported gengalist commander. CDR USSTRATOOM provides nuclear expertise to the supported gengalist commander throughout the planning process to include:"	DS.	M - term plan manager removed	Responsibilities of the plan manager should be clear to the reader.	Recommend defining the term "Plan Manager".	9		3,03	S	3-3	ψ 1	
SOURCE TYPE PAGE PARA LINE COMMENT percent incidence of injuries or property damage will not be exceeded. For more information see IP 3-12.1, Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning (S). Least Separation Distance (LSD). The minimum distance that a desired ground zero must be separated from an object to ensure no more than a 10 percent incidence of damage or obstacles with 99 percent assurance. For more information see IP 3-12.1, Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning (S).	ि E	M - kept all the changed, but removed the unnecessary term plans manager	Correctness	Change to read as follows: "(3) As the Plan Manager, <u>CDR</u> USSTRATCOM develops TNOs against facilities selected by the supported regional geographic combatant commander. <u>CDR</u> USSTRATCOM provides nuclear expertise to the supported combatant commander throughout the planning process to include:" Change to read as follows: "(3) As the Plan Manager, <u>CDR</u> USSTRATCOM develops TNOs against facilities selected by the supported regional geographic combatant commander. <u>CDR</u> USSTRATCOM provides nuclear expertise to the supported combatant commander throughout the planning process to include:"	9	1c(3)	3.03	S	37	34.	
SOURCE TYPE PAGE PARA LINE COMMENT RATIONALE				percent incidence of injuries or property damage will not be exceeded. For more information see JP 3-12.1, Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning (S). Least Separation Distance (LSD). The minimum distance that a desired ground zero must be separated from an object to ensure no more than a 10 percent incidence of damage or obstacles with 99 percent assurance. For more information see JP 3-12.1, Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning (S).							
		(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	OITEN #	

33

J-3

S

3.03

13.17

(d) Conducting required TNO maintenance.

Recommend deleting references to WHISKEY and ROMEO

C Drafting the ROMEO message.

messages and replacing both lines with, "(a) Preparing

define classified terms.

above

A - same as

Eliminates requirement to

paragraph begs for more detailed information

Emergency Action Messages."

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

	relevant datain fact, the	(a)Preparing the WHISKEY message. (b) Developing the TMO					7		
A - same as	Paragraphs offer no	Delete the subparagraphs a-d-inelude:	11.19		3.03	Α	USAF	5	35
>	White factually correct, this paragraph is the only place where the WHISKEY and ROMEO messages, and the concept of TNO maintenance, are addressed, and will probably confuse the reader rather than provide clarity.	USSTRATCOM provides nuclear expertise to the supported combatant commander throughout the planning process. to include: (a) Preparing the WHISKEY message. (b) Developing the TNO. (c) Drafting the ROMEO message. (d) Conducting required TNO maintenance.	10.19	1c3	3.03	8	33612	349	٠,,
A - changed in above comment	Consistency with other geographical combatant commanders.	Change to read as follows: "by the supported geographical combatant commander.	10	(3)	3.03	Α	USA	348	ι. _λ
A – changed in above comment	Consistency with other geographical combatant commanders.	Change to read as follows: "by the supported geographical combatant commander.	10	(3)	3.03	Α	CADD	34	(بر)
M - Paragraph slightly changed to spend less time on STRATCOM's responsibilities, However, the info is needed in the context of the paragraph.	These subparagraphs assign responsibilities for planning to USSTRATCOM and should not appear as a subparagraph to "nuclear weapons use".	Recommend moving these subparagraphs to paragraph 3.a.(2) in the Planning portion of Chapter III.	9.23		3,03	M	J-3	34(t.a
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	# #	0.01TEN #
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	78≥	ITEM

Y TO WHE as EAM,

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

ITEM

41:

SOURCE

_			combatant commanders the authority for the expenditure of						-	
	D	the authorization message	Change last sentence to, "USSI KAI COM relays through a secure communications channel to the supported geographic	38,40	2.8.1	3,03	Z	USFK		Ç.
1		theater nuclear execution.		3		3				
-		has go/no-go authority in	chain of command.							
	comment,	believe USSTRATCOM	technical means to pass the authorization, but are not in the							
-	USFK	reader would be lead to	expenditure of nuclear" USSTRATCOM provides the	20,27		3.03	Ū	3		30
1	M_Changed in	Clarity As stated the	Presidential authorization.	20 20		202	2	12	1	36
			authority for the expenditure of nuclear weapons following							
_			the supported geographic combatant commander the tirebreak							
_	>	Correctness.	Change to read as follows: "CDR_USSTRATCOM provides	<u>သ</u>	2a(1)	3.03	Ç2	J7		35
_		addresses DCA	Renumber remaining sections in chapter 3							
		theater assets, specifically								
	>	Answer's questions about	Add the attached section 2. Theater Nuclear Support Forces	24		3.03	Z	STRATCOM		35
			procedures, capabilities, and limitations of nuclear weapons."							
_			commander in understanding the effects, employment							
			agency actions necessary and assist the supported combatant							
			coordinate all supporting component and combat support							
_	A	Correctness.	Change to read as follows: "(4) CDR USSTRATCOM will	21	1c(4)	3.03	Ş	J7	-6-	35
	unclass pub									
_	depth in an									
	much further in									
	Can not go									
_	relationship									
	EUCOM									
-	unique									
	regarding the									
	note was added									
	Additionally, a									
_	removed									
_	have been		cince DISCTRATOOM FAPs are not used?							
	A - Specifics of		Comment: Given that SACEUK could potentially make this	2		3.03	V.	USJECOM	4.2	35
1	A	rejevant.		5		3			T	
_		information for them to be								
_		required further detailed				163.6				
- 10	above	offer no relevant data and	The state of the s			ţ	;			ç
	A - same as	Clarity. These paragraphs	Delete subparagraphs a-d.	13 19		303	Α	USJECOM	4	3.5
	(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	#	0.01TEN #
١										

cc312fc.doc

as of 04/28/03

Page 57 of 75

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA Joint Staff input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

COMMENT

LINE

ITEM

72

SOURCE

0.0ITEN # 8 8 당 36 36 36 36 S USA <u>ب</u> د USN USA STRATCOM USA SOURCE 37 17 Ŋ S \triangleright Ø S (A) Z TYPE 3.04 3.04 3.04 3.04 3.04 3.04 3.03 3,03 PAGE 28(2) Ö S 2.8.1 PARA 12..01 20 N Photo 41 Photo 8 LINE capabilities Recommend not deleting the discussion of the services Delete photo and caption. and publishes directives on the use of nuclear weapons by US commander in a multinational command provides guidance Change to read as follows: "The US clement component Replace the word "component" with the word "combatant". Services components, and the geographic combatant forces in such commands." Replace the word "component" with "combatant" commander to ensure unity of effort." Change to read as follows: "Theater nuclear support is control relationship with Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers thoroughly coordinated among CDR USSTRATCOM, the "Note that EUCOM has a unique nuclear command and commander to strike time-sensitive targets such as missile nuclear weapons following Presidential authorization." Europe." Add the following note at the end of (1). the actual strike. compress the time required between the decision to strike and launch platforms. Procedures must be well rehearsed so as to coordination must be flexible enough to allow the theater Add the following sentence "Command and control and COMMENT data he/she may not have Provides the reader with Consistency with JP 0-2, from other sources. Chapter III, para 16c. Doctrine. Administrative/clarity Limited relation between content. No value added to section Consistency with the text. fleeting, time-sensitive the capability to strike procedures so as to allow control and coordination supported combatant necessity to develop and commander, he does not RATIONALE photo and caption. rehearse command, Doctrine. Emphasizes the provide the authority. coordinate would routinely original tex R - Origina M - changed to to make more M - changed 1 b components implies that comment, **JFCOM** combatant above A - same as caption slightly > below comment commander iaw perunent. (A/R/M) DECISION

as of 04/28/03

cc312fc.doc

nuclear support

RATIONALE

DECISION

(A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

COMMENT

ITEM

*

SOURCE

TYPE

PAGE PARA

LINE

0.01TEN # 36 36 SOURCE CADD J7 S Ś TYPE 3.04 3.04 PAGE 2 0 PARA 17 ENE 12.17 plans, CDR USSTRATCOM coordinates with supporting Recommend not deleting the discussion of the services staffs. CDR USSTRATCOM will deploy a Theater Planning between the supporting and supported combatant commander's weapon yields, delivery methods, and safe delivery routing. on the theater or joint task force staffs to ensure appropriate to avoid fratricide and promote unity of effort. Service components and the geographic combatant commander nuclear planning and CBRN weapons expertise. The TPRC Response Cell (TPRC) familiar with the theater to provide Targeting conflicts are resolved with direct consultations USSTRATCOM planners require input from Service experts Change to read as follows: "When preparing nuclear support capabilities COMMENT Correctness. data he/she may not have RATIONALE Provides the reader with from other sources. nuclear support R - Original or support would be for different than it channels is no coordination discussion on with each other original text comment; **JFCOM** would be for any other type coordinate would routinely components implies that ot support different than it (A/R/M) the ensuing any other type channels is no coordination with each other. discussion on The ensuing DECISION

ITEM # SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

ent A M-TPRCs renamed. New name properly cupitalized M-Changed in above comment that changed TPRC to SST	theater nuclear plans. Clarifies the deployment location of the TPRC. Terms are not capitalized in this usage. Language usage, the TPRC is a group of people who provide products, they don't include products. Clarifies roles and responsibilities.	Change to read, "USSTRATCOM will deploy a Theater Planning Response Cell (TPRC), familiar with the theater, to the supported combatant commander to provide nuclear planning and WMD expertise." Change to read: "will deploy a Titheater Pplanning Recommender consequence of execution and hazard prediction analysis to the supported commander. The TPRC will provide consequence of execution and hazard prediction analysis to the supported commander. Recommend revision of entire paragraph to clearly delineate responsibilities for theater nuclear planning. Geographic combatant commanders (or JFCs) are responsible for defining theater objectives, selecting targets, and developing nuclear plans required to support those objectives. USSTRATCOM is a supporting combatant commander when it comes to development of theater nuclear plans. Change to read, " (1) Theater Planning. Geographic combatant commanders are responsible for defining theater objectives and developing nuclear plans required to support those objectives including selecting targets. CDR USSTRATCOM, as the supporting combatant commander, provides detailed planning support to meet theater strategy during crisis action, adaptive, and deliberate planning. USSTRATCOM provides detailed	23 23 23 8.17	2.6	3.04 3.05 3.05	Δ Δ ω	USMC USFK	37. 37. 37.
<u> </u>	Geographical Combatant Commanders have the responsibility to prepare	Replace "When preparing" with "When assisting in the preparation of"	17		3.04	ß	j.	36
,		will include provide a consequence of execution and hazard prediction analysis to the supported combatant commander. The consequence of execution analysis provides the decision maker with an estimate of collateral effects during the expenditure of nuclear weapons."						
1	NATIONALE	COMPLETE	CLAR	FARA	1005	- 1	300160	0.01.1.20

ITEM #Ł SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

0.0ITEN #	非	SOURCE	TYPE	PAGE	PARA	LINE	COMMENT Adaptive, and deliberate planning. All theater nuclear"	RATIONALE
							ndaptive, and deliberate planning. All theater nuclear"	
37/		J7	Α	3.05	بن 19	=	Change to read as follows: "combatant commanders, initiates crisis action planning (CAP) procedures contained"	Acronym does not meet guidelines; single occurrence does not warrant acronym use.
37.		USMC	≯	3.05	n S	12	Delete (CAP).	Consistency with JP 1-01. Acronym is not in the glossary. The term crisis action planning is used only twice, which would mean the acronym would be used only once.
37		USMC	S	3.05		12	Change to read: "action planning (CAP) procedures"	Only use of term, so no acronym is used.
37		J-3	×	3.05		19	Recommend revision of "Theater Planning" portion of the chapter to expand on the responsibilities of the CJCS, Joint Staff, Geographic Combatant Commander, USSTRATCOM, and supporting commands/agencies.	Clarifies roles and responsibilities.
371		J7	S	3.05	3a(1)	19	Change to read as follows: "(1) Theater Planning. <u>CDR</u> USSTRATCOM provides detailed planning support to meet theater strategy during crisis action, adaptive, and deliberate planning."	Correctness.
37		USAF	S	3.05		19.20	Change to read: When tasked, USSTRATCOM provides detailed planning support to meet theater strategy during crisis action, adaptive, and deliberate planning.	STRATCOM's level of involvement in theater nuclear planning varies between theaters. It is not one-size fits all. JSCP would task STRATCOM as necessary based upon resident theater expertise, forces considered for use, and other factors.

as of 04/28/03

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

71:

SOURCE

SST. A or e is ild be ss. c of M - sentence ort added, but no	conventional support							2136 Jac
be be	Highlights the type of	<u>Comment</u> : The paragraph mentions the importance of successfully integrating nuclear and conventional forces.	2	(<u>G</u>	3.06	ζΩ	EUCOM	32 83
SST	A legal review of potential targets for LOAC compliance is required, and should be noted in the process.	Under the "development" column, a bullet for "legal review" should be added.			3.06	Z	STRATCOM	38
M - same idea, but TPRO	Completeness.	Change to read as follows: "(2) As a supporting combatant commander, Commander, USSTRATCOM, provides theater planning support to the supported geographic combatant commander through deployment of a strategic support team and detailed target analysis, development, weaponeering, and mission planning/analysis as depicted in Figure III-1."	32	3a(2)	3.05	23	J7	33
	Acronym previously established	Replace erisis action planning with <u>CAP</u>	26		3.05	>	USN	300
so no A – same as above	Only use of term, so no acronym is used.	Change to read: "Execution System (JOPES) procedures"	21.22		3.05	S	USMC	38
JP 1-01. A — same as a the above m Joint ng and is used ding the	Consistency with JP 1-01. Acronym is not in the glossary. The term Joint Operation Planning and Execution System is used only once, precluding the use of an acronym.	Delete (JOPES).	2	3a.(1)	3.05	>	USMC	38
t meet A use acronym	Acronym does not meet guidelines; single use does not warrant acronym use.	Change to read as follows: " follows prescribed Joint Operation Planning and Execution System (JOPES) procedures"	21	3a(1)	3,05	≯	J7	33
ers do with M-Modified in response to J- 3 comment scream below should r iscussion crisis on g.	The theater planners do theater planning with support from STRATCOM, therefore the first sentence should discuss the theater planners, not STRATCOM. Discussion is too focused on crisis planning, and not on deliberate planning.	(1) Theater Planning. All theater nuclear option planning conducted by the geographic combatant commander follows Joint Operation Planning and Execution System (JOPES). USSTRATCOM provides detailed planning support to meet theater strategy during crisis action, adaptive, and deliberate planning.	19.23	3.a.1	3.05	S	USFK	386
(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0LTEN #

ITEM 3£ SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE PAGE PARA LINE COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

A - Accepted in comment below	Clarity.	Change to read as tollows: "Nuclear wwcapons and weapon systems may be deployed into theaters, but geographic combatant commanders have no authority to employ them until specifically granted by the President."	œ	56	3.06	<i>v.</i>	J/	39
	stated.		Ì					3
	are nuclear. It needs to be							
	the context no longer							
	the first sentence of the					52.5		
	nuclear weapons. Since							
	discretion to use non-	•	//ee					
13	commanders have	Systems"	9		£,00	C	200	
	way.		•		2	2	TICAE	30
	affecting it in a positive							
	campaign plan, hopefully							
	hard to man the							
A	In theater operations,	Change "affect" to "support"	4		3.06	>	3	30
		ultimately, theater strategy.						
	execution vice planning	missions affect the conduct of the entire campaign plan and						
	We are now talking	how nuclear and conventional forces interact and how nuclear	3.03		3.00	v	CSIN	30
		nuclear weapons recovery."			3	2	III	
		addresses concerns such as aerial refueling, ECM, CSAR, and						
		scattence of this paragraph: "Nuclear operations in the theater						
examples.		Recommended Change: Insert the following as the second						
need to go so in depth on	required in a nuclear operation.	However, the nature of conventional support is only implied.						
(A/R/M)					-			
DECISION	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	PAGE	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

COMMENT

RATIONALE

DECISION

(A/R/M)

ITEM

31:

SOURCE

TYPE

PAGE

PARA

LINE

0.01TEN # 39 39 39 39 39 <u>۔</u> د USAF USMC J7 USJFCOM SOURCE 17 S Þ \triangleright SID ഗ TYPE 3.07 3.07 3.07 3.06 PAGE 3.06 46 4.8. 4 PARA 3b.(2)3 20.22 23 LINE 17 14 تي conventional means only." ranging from continued CBRN weapons use to a resumption of Replace "shielding" with "protection" Chemical, Biological, Radiological, or Nuclear Weapons Use" employment? forces must prepare for further operations under conditions Change to read as follows: " US, allied, and multinational Change first sentence to read: a. Beyond the effects of Change to read as follows: "4. Continued Operations on a After integrated into European theater plans for US DCA various theaters are not very apparent to the units that may be COMMENT stress and effect of their use. psychological stress and effect of their use.—"The effects of CBRN-weapons, they also can produce easualties from the nuclear weapons on the battlefield and the casualties caused by Add a carriage return between subparagraphs (2) and (3). tasked to carry out these plans. How is US nuke doctrine Comment: USSTRATCOM plans integrating DCA within the nuclear weapons on the battlefield and the casualties caused by MD weapons can produce casualties from the psychological is a noun. CBRN is an "CBRN" has been used to Consistency with JP 3-16. equipment. Shielding is replace "WMD" "CBRN" has been used to have been used is "CBRN adjective. What should throughout the JP. WMD replace "WMD" covers both. equipment. Protection associated with refers to personnel or have been used is "CBRN adjective. What should is a noun. CBRN is an throughout the JP. WMD wcapons." Readability. weapons." RATIONALE It is unclear whether it Format. with WMD per > recommendatio R-CBRN has Þ with WMD per Joint Staff to protecting when referring the correct term R - Shielding is much further in been replaced personnel equipment or recommendatio R-CBRN has unclass pub depth in an Can not go added regarding M - a note was (A/R/M) DECISION Joint Staff been replaced relationship EUCOM the unique

cc312fc.doc

ITEM # SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

40	40	40	40:	40.	40	400	399	39	0.0ITEN #
J-5 NAC	J-S NAC	J-5 NAC	J-S NAC	USN	៤រ	J3612	USAES-DEI	STRATCOM	SOURCE
Ø	S	W	Ø	>	X	S	S	×	TYPE
51.01	51.01	51.01	51.01	51.01	3.01 to 3.07	5.2	3.5	3.04.0 5	PAGE
						20	3a(2)		PARA
						1	43	23.01	LINE
Under Impact Column, Moscow Treaty, change 2nd bullet to "No verification measures, but uses existing START	Under Impact Column, Moscow Treaty, change 1st builet to read "Reduces US and Russian strategic nuclear warheads to a level between 1700-2200 by 31 December 2012"	Under Treaty Column, Moscow Treaty, Change to read "Strategic Offensive Reductions Treaty (Moscow Treaty)"	Under Treaty Column, Strategic Offensive Arms Reduction and Limitation Treaty, Change "(START 1)" to "(START)"	Delete Roman numeral after "START I"	Ensure Chapter III is coordinated with the revision of JP 3-12.1, Joint Tactics, Techniques and Procedures for Theater Nuclear Planning.	Single Integrated Operational Plan Operational Plan (OPLAN) 8044 Revision (Year) (Basic)	Change to read: "nuclear weapons effects to include estimated adversary fatalities as well as environmental impacts, those effects beyond the target country, and allied and coalition perception and possible reactions to nuclear strikes."	Change to read, "USSTRATCOM will deploy a strategic support team Theater Planning Response Cell (TPRC) familiar with the theater to provide nuclear planning and CBRN WMD expertise. The TPRC strategic support team will include provide"	COMMENT
More accurate description of what START does for	The term "operational deployed" is not relevant to the Russians and is not part of the Treaty. The term operationally deployed refers to the method the US will use to reach their Treaty limit.	Correct reference to Moscow Treaty	Correct reference to START. START II and START III never entered into force	There is only one START Treaty. The former START II and START III are no longer viable agreements.	Accuracy.	Conforms to naming convention.	Sec 6 above	Correct terminology. TPRC's are being renamed.	RATIONALE
A	>	A	i A	≫	A – It was written in conjunction with the LA of 3-12.1.	A	i 🌣	A	DECISION (A/R/M)

ITEM SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

frequently; but,	test nuclear weapons due			5700				_
referenced	the US continues not to							
treaty is still	adhere to it. However,							
because the	is therefore, not bound to							
want to delete,	not ratified the CTBT and							
M - do not	Technically, the US has	Recommend deleting CTBT from table.	-	Table	51.01	C/3	USA	41
information.								
additional								
will add			v1:01					
frequently; but,		1999, voted 51 to 48 against ratifying the CTBT."						_
referenced	to ratify.	Add as last bullet in CTBT, "The US Senate, on 13 October						_
treaty is still	not pursue further efforts					-		_
because the	President has said US will							
want to delete.	ratification of the Treaty,							
M - do not	US Senate vetoed	Recommend deletion of CTBT from table.			51.01	U	1-3	419
		US continues to reduce nuclear arms IAW the NPT."	-					
		Add as last bullet in NPT, "Through the Moscow Treaty, the						
NPT.	observed.							-
information to	Administration has	negative security assurances.	F (27)					
more	obligations that every	obligation to pursue disarmament, include discussion of	N ET X					
M - add some	These are important treaty	Recommend inclusion of discussion of NPT Article VI			51.01	S	J-3	40
		basing/deployment of US nuclear forces						
		treaties have important implications for	_					
		 Commanders need to be aware that these 						_
		Africa						
		Antarctica, Latin America, Outer Space and						
		Weapon-Free Zone Treaties, including					10	
		 The US is a party to several Nuclear- 						
	nuclear forces.	2ªd column, "				700.0		
	basing/deployment of US	1st column, "Nuclear-Weapon-Free Zone Treaties"						
and dead of the control of	implications for	Add the following to the table:		-				
indicated	which have important	יזי במויטור זוכני בטור זורמורים זוו מוכ ומטור.				W		38/4
	Contract of those traction		-		-			_
A - add as last	The US is a party to	Recommend inclusion of discussion of various Nuclear-			51.01	S	J-3	40
	but the Russians have not							
	Senate special satisfaction	לכי בווכוכם וווס נסוכב				•		
>	Provides current status of	Under impact Column, Moscow Treaty, and 3 collect Not			10.10	C	9-0 3476	- 5
	the Moscow Treaty	ventication regime to provide the foundation for transparency"	+		2	2	CANA	2
				1	1			+
(A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	A LINE	PARA	PAGE	HAPE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #
		1	4			-	1 22 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	101

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM # SOURCE RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

-	Significant current	And reference, National Security Presidential Directive-	-	32.02	2	000	ا	
		Add affirmed Nicking I Sancting Indianated Add		3		ASI		
DE	Completeness. STRIKWARN messages are standardized in the US and NATO under this	Add reference: NATO Standardized in NATO Standardization Agreement 2104, Friendly Nuclear Strike Warning.		52.02	> ×	O A		4
ţs:	Title as shown on current listing of CJCSJ/M/Ns dated 4 Feb 03 located at http://www.dtic.mil/doctrine/jel/cjcsd/cjcs_current.pdf and consistent with title shown on pg II-7, line 35	Change to read: CJCSI 3110.04A, Nuclear Supplement to JSCP.	24	52.01		USAF		. 4
A - specific pubs listed in comment below	Inappropriate List specific pubs	Delete: JP-3-01 series	13	52.01	<u>ν</u>	USN	41	4
×	1. Completeness. 2. IAW JP 1-01, references to other joint publications will include the full name of the referenced document. 3. Although not specifically cited, these publication apply to the concepts addressed within the publication.	Change to read: JP 3-01 series. JP 3-01, Joint Doctrine for Countering Air and Missile Threats JP 3-01.1, Aerospace Defense of North America JP 3-01.5, Doctrine for Joint Theater Missile Defense	13	52.01	> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >	USAF	4	
A - IAW previous comments	Incorporates figure within the chapter that mentions the topic.	Move figure to page I-7 and re-label as Fig I-2.	F51.0	51.01	S	USAF	4	
A – acronym removed IAW with below t rational		Comment: The full text for acronym START does not match the Glossary, page GL-1; deconfliction is required for consistency.	F51.0	51.01	>	USJFCOM	41.	
will add additional information. See above comment	to a self-imposed moratorium on nuclear testing declared in 1992.							
DECISION (A/R/M)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PAGE PARA	TYPE P	SOURCE	*	0.01TEN #

RATIONALE

DECISION (A/R/M)

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

7£

SOURCE

Tithomeland Security Presidential Directive-4. National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December Combating WMD.	d as not ines.	Used only once, part of the title d meet acronym gr. Correct terminol Correct spelling. Correct spelling. Correct spelling. Correctness. See and definition w publication at paline 3.	"FY" RY - fiscal year Delete the following acronym from Part I: NBC Change to read as follows: "CJCSI Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Ijnstruction" Change to read: "CJCSI Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Ijnstructioh" Change to read as follows: "SSBM SSBN fleet balleistic missile submarine" Change to read: SSBMN fleet balleistic missile submarine	39 8 8		99.01			
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Camhat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December combating WMD. Strategy to Camhat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December combating WMD.	Uscd as loes not uidelines.	Used only once, part of the title d meet acronym g Correctness. Correct terminol	"FY" FY = fiscal year Delete the following acronym from Part I: NBC Change to read as follows: "CJCSI Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Ijnstruction" Change to read: "CJCSI Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Ijnstructioh" Change to read as follows: "SSBM SSBN fleet balleistic missile submarine"	39 80 80		99.01		USAF	42
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Heapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. 17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 2002. 200		Used only once. part of the title d meet acronym g Correctness. Correct terminol	fisca e the ge to ge to	00 00				J7	420
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. Replace SIOP with "Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03" Add: Section 1041 and 1042 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) Section 1033 of FY 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 107-107) General comment. The following acronyms are not used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be deleted from the glossary: "NUWEP, SSBM" General comment. The following acronym is used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be added to the glossary: "FY" FY- fiscal year Delete the following acronym from Part I: NBC FY- fiscal year Delete the following acronym from Part I: NBC Change to read as follows: "CJCSI Chairman of the Joint Correct terminology. Correct terminology. Correct terminology.		Used only once. part of the title d meet acronym g Correctness.	fiscal year e the following acronym from ge to read as follows: "CJCSI s of Staff finstruction" ge to read:	00 00					
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. 17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National		Used only once, part of the title d meet acronym go	fiscal year e the following acronym from geto read as follows: "CJCSI s of Staff Ijnstruction"	00		99.01		USMC	42:
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. Replace SIOP with "Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03" Add: Section 1031 and 1042 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) Section 1033 of FY 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 107-107) General comment. The following acronyms are not used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be deleted from the glossary: "NUWEP, SSBM" General comment. The following acronym is used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be added to the glossary: "FY" FY-fiscal year Defete the following acronym from Part I: NBC Used only once. Used as part of the title does not meet acronym guidelines.		Used only once, part of the title d	"FY" TY — fiscal year Delete the following acronym from Part I: NBC			99.01		J7	42,
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. 52.02 N/A 1 The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. Replace SIOP with "Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03" Add: Section 1041 and 1082 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) Section 1033 of FY 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 107-107) 99.00 General comment. The following acronyms are not used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be deleted from the glossary: "NUWEP, SSBM" 99.00 General comment. The following acronym is used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be added to the glossary: "FY" FY-fiscal year			"FY" FY — fiscal year			99.01		J7	42:
1//Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. 52.02 N/A 1 The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. 52.02 S Add: Section 1041 and 1042 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) Section 1033 of FY 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 107-107) 99.00 General comment. The following acronyms are not used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be deleted from the glossary: "NUWEP, SSBM" 99.00 General comment. The following acronym is used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be added to the glossary: "YFY" "FY" Presidential policy on combating WMD. Complaine WMD. Completeness Referenced with pub's text text Compliance with JP 1-01.			"FY"						
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. Replace SIOP with "Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03" Add: Section 1041 and 1042 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) Section 1033 of FY 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 107-107) General comment. The following acronyms are not used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be deleted from the glossary: "NUWEP, SSBM" General comment. The following acronym is used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be added to the glossary: Compliance with JP 1-01. Compliance with JP 1-01.			•					de Salva e	
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. Replace SIOP with "Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03" Add: Section 1041 and 1042 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) Section 1033 of FY 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 107-107) General comment. The following acronyms are not used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be deleted from the glossary: "NUWEP, SSBM"		Compliance wit	General comment. The following acronym is used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be added to the glossary:	0.0		99.00		USMC	42
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. Replace SIOP with "Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03" Add: Section 1041 and 1042 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) Section 1033 of FY 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) General comment. The following acronyms are not used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be deleted from the glossary: Compliance with JP 1-01.			"NUWEP, SSBM"						
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December 2002. 52.02 N/A 1 The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. Replace SIOP with "Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03" Add: Section 1041 and 1042 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) Section 1033 of FY 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 107-107) Completeness Referenced with pub's text		Compliance with	General comment. The following aeronyms are not used two or more times in the text of the pub and should be deleted from the glossary:			99.00	S	USMC	42
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December combating WMD. 52.02 N/A 1 The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. Replace SIOP with "Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03"	_	Completeness Referenced with text	Add: Section 1041 and 1042 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 106-398) Section 1033 of FY 2002 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 107-107)	(A		52.02	>	USN	420
17/Homeland Security Presidential Directive-4, National Presidential policy on Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction, December combating WMD. 2002. 52.02 N/A 1 The term SIOP should be replaced with Operations Plan 8044			Revision 03, IAW CM-757-03, 8 Feb 03. Replace SIOP with "Operations Plan 8044 Revision 03"						
	on on	combating WM	em SIOP should be replaced with Operations		N/A		va .	STRATCOM	4
RAHONALE PARA LINE COMMENT		RALIONALE	-	FINE	FAKA	PAGE	1112	a Conce	0.011 E.M

Page 68 of 75

as of 04/28/03

cc312fc.doc

ITEM 38: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

<u> </u>	429	0.01TEN #
S	USU	
USAF	Ñ	SOURCE
v ₂	Α	TYPE
99.01	99.01	PAGE
		PARA
40	46	LINE
Delete acronym "START" and it's definition as the Strategic Arms Limitation Treaty.	Keep acronym to read "START - Strategic Offensive Arms Reduction and Limitation Treaty"	COMMENT
START is a "popular title", not an acronym that stands for the title shown in JP 1-02 and this draft of JP 3-12. Recommend leaving the START I characterization in Fig A-1 as-is Recommend deleting START from JP 1-02 acronym list. A short search of Library of Congress website http://thomas.loc.gov/home/treaties/treaties.htm yielded: Short Title: TREATY WITH THE UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS ON THE REDUCTION AND LIMITATION OF STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS (THE START TREATY) Popular Title: "The Treaty between the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms (the START Treaty), signed at Moscow on July 31, 1991,	Accuracy. Consistent with long title of treaty and with Appendix A	RATIONALE
	R – acronym removed	DECISION

cc312fc.doc

ITEM ŦĿ SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

	Allocation and apportionment are two different concepts that are defined in JP 1-02. Allocation is distribution of limited resources among competing requirements for employment. Apportionment is the distribution for planning of limited resources among competing requirements. What is clearly being discussed in this definition is planning. This is explicitly stated in the text of the definition. This is apportionment, not allocation. Allocation relates to employment.	Change to read as follows: "alloeation apportionment (nuclear). The apportionment of specific numbers and types of nuclear weapons to a commander for a stated time period as a planning factor for use in the development of war operation plans. (Additional authority is required for the actual deployment of allocated weapons to locations desired by the commander to support the war operation plans. Expenditures of these weapons are not authorized until released by proper authority.) (Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will modify the existing term and its definition and will be included in JP 1-02.)"	Cha (nuc nuc) plar plar dcpl dcfl will	4	99.03	M 9	J7	43	
R – acronym removed	Correct title of Treaty	Change definition to "Strategic Offensive Arms Reduction and Limitation Treaty"		40	99.01	S 9	J-5 NAC	43	
	including Annexes on Agreed Statements and Definitions; Protocols on Conversion or Elimination, Inspection, Notification, Throwweight, Telemetry, and Joint Compliance and Inspection Commission; and Memorandum of Understanding; all integral parts of the START Treaty.								
(A/RIM)	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE CO	PARA LI	PAGE P	TYPE	SOURCE	0.0ITEN #	ū.

RATIONALE

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT

ITEM

∓±

SOURCE

DECISION (A/R/M)

0.01TEN #	SOURCE	TYPE	PAGE	PARA	LINE	COMMENT	RATIONALE	DECISION (A/R/M)
4		Ø	99.03		16	Change to read as follows: "counterforce targeting. The employment of strategic air and missile forces in an effort to destroy, or render impotent, selected military capabilities of an enemy adversary force under any of the circumstances by which hostilities may be initiated. (Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will modify the existing term and its definition and will be included in JP 1-02.)"	Consistency with the term "countervalue targeting." Consistency with current usage.	⊳
434	STRATCOM	C	99.03		20	Change to read, "eeuntervalue critical infrastructure targeting. Strategy directing the destruction"	See comments above – countervalue targeting violates LOAC.	>
43:	J7	W	99.03		20	Change to read as follows: "countervalue targeting. Strategy directing the destruction or neutralization of selected enemy adversary military and military related activities, such as industries, resources, and institutions that contribute to the enemy adversary's ability to wage war. (Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will be included in JP 1-02.)"	Consistency with current terminology and use.	* ≱≥
43	USMC	Z	99.03		20.23	General comment. Delete attempt to codify this term and definition in JP 1-02. How is this different that HVTs already defined in joint doctrine?	It is not necessary to start another train of thought that is similar to high-value target is defined as a target the enemy commander requires for the successful completion of the mission. Critical Infrastructure only encompasses industries, resources and institutions. Perhaps it could be said that critical infrastructure	R - see rational
43	17	W	99.03		34	Change to read as follows: "denial measure. An action to hinder or deny the enemy adversary the use of space,	Consistency with current terminology and use.	A

cc312fc.doc

44

USMC

 \triangleright

99.04

20

accomplishment of the commander's mission within the authority, to support operations that contribute to the Such forces may be employed, when authorized by competent against opposing forces, supporting installations, or facilities. capability to employ nuclear weapons by land, sea, or air

operational area theater of operations. (Upon approval of this

revision, this term and its definition will modify the existing

term and its definition and will be included in JP 1-02.)"

44

J7

ţΩ

99.04

19

vehicle.

nuclear-capable forces located in an operational area with a

commander may not have

The combatant

established a theater of

operations.

Accuracy and consistency with JP 1-02.

>

Change to read as follows: "nonstrategic nuclear forces. Those

Change to read: multiple independently targetable reentry

The ability to threaten to attack what the enemy an adversary

44

USMC

>

99.04

15

values...

0.0ITEN # ITEM 4 43 43 70: USN EUCOM SOURCE SOURCE J7 Ç CO (n TYPE TYPE PAGE 99.04 99.04 99.04 PAGE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft) PARA PARA LINE 12.13 LINE 12 12 GENERAL COMMENT: should it not be changed as follows: DOD dictionary. If the consensus is the definition is required I question the need to formally define this and include it in the addition. However, a revision is proposed. this revision, this term and its definition will be included in JP to attack what the enemy adversary values. (Upon approval of Change to read as follows: "hold at risk. The ability to threaten damage against that which the enemy values." Recommended Change: Define as follows, "The ability to threaten an attack that generates a desired effect or level of Comment: Defining the term "hold at risk" is a welcome existing term and its definition and will be included in JP 1this revision, this term and its definition will modify the contamination, or erection of obstructions. (Upon approval of personnel, or facilities. It may include destruction, removal, COMMENT 02.)" generates a desired effect or level of damage against that which Change to read, "The ability to threaten an attack the to enemy adversary values. COMMENT Accepted usage terminology and use. Consistency with current attack the target, we really cannot hold that target at risk. damage. Although we could generating the desired level of may not be a system capable of and deeply buried facility, there target is a sufficiently hardened effect. As an example, if a level of damage or the desired can generate the appropriate RATIONALE issue is whether such an attack US forces can already attack what the enemy values. The RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M) commen integrated in to M - see above **EUCOM** def М – сопписи to adversary changed enemy comment and UNCLASSIFIED 0% 0% M - accepted DECISION (Λ/RVM)

Change to read: a capability to employ nuclear weapons by

The word "forces"

R/M-

ITEM 71: SOURCE Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT | RATIONALE DECISION (A/R/M)

0.0ITEN #	SOURCE	TYPE	PAGE	PARA	LINE	COMMENT	RATIONALE	DECISION
						land, sea, or air forces against opposing forces,	appears in the JP 1-02 definition. Suggest deleting the word "forces" and adding (Upon approval of this revision, this modified term and its definition will be included	Correction made in USMC comment above
44	USMC	Ø	99.04		20	General comment. If you delete this word you are changing the JP 1-02 definition and need to add the JP 1-01 verbiage that allows you to do this. Add at the end of the definition, "(Upon approval of this revision, this modified term and its definition will be included in JP -023)	Correct procedures for changing a JP 1-02 definition per JP 1-01.	
44:	J7	S	99.04		35	Change to read as follows: "nuclear strike warning. A warning of impending friendly or suspected enemy adversary nuclear attack. (Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will modify the existing term and its definition and will be included in JP 1-02.)"	Consistency with current terminology and use.	
44	USMC		99.04		40 40	General comment: Is this definition for nuclear weapon going to replace the existing definition or be added as a second definition?	Because the term nuclear weapon already exists, the intent for this definition must be clarified.	A - changed in below definition
#	37	>	99.04		w	Change to read as follows: "nuclear weapon. A nuclear warhead and its necessary arming, fuzing, and firing components required to produce a nuclear yield. (Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will be included in JP 1-02. Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will modify the existing term and its definition and will be included in JP 1-02.)"	There is an existing approved definition for the term "nuclear weapon" which reads as follows: "nuclear weapon A complete assembly (i.e., implosion type, gun type, or thermonuclear type), in its intended ultimate configuration which, upon completion of the prescribed arming, fusing, and firing	R - definition changed below

cc312fc.doc

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12, Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

TYPE | PAGE | PARA | LINE | COMMENT |

			5.00			710		
45	45.	45	450	44	4		0.0ITEN	MBH
							3±	78
USMC]5	J7	USMC		USMC		SOURCE	SOURCE
X	so.	S	X	ß	Z		TYPE	TYPE
99.05	99.05	99.05	99.04	99.04	99.04		PAGE	PAGE
							PARA	PAKA
10.15	10.13	10	43.46	43	38.41		LINE	LINE
Change to read: "responsive force. A force intended to address potential contingencies. The ability to reinforce in a timely and efficient manner the operationally deployed force with warheads from the responsive force will contribute to the deterrence of challenges and the dissuasion of arms competition. (Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will be included in JP 1-02.)"	Change as follows: "Responsive Force Augmentation Capability"	This "responsive force" definition seems to be missing text.	General comment. Do not agree to define "operationally deployed weapons" in a nuclear context only. Many weapons are operationally deployed. Delete this entry or make it for this publication only and not go into JP 1-02.	Change to read as follows: "operationally deployed <u>nuclear</u> weapons. Nuclear weapons that are on operational ballistic missiles or bombers or in bomber base weapon storage. Operationally deployed weapons are for immediate and unexpected threats. (Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will be included in JP 1-02.)"	Change to read: "nuclear weapon. A complete assembly (i.e. implosion type. gun type, or thermonuclear type), in its intended ultimate configuration which, upon completion of the prescribed arming, fusing and firing sequence, is capable of producing the intended nuclear reaction and release of energy. A nuclear washead and its necessary arming, fuzing, and firing components required to produce a nuclear yield. (Upon approval of this revision, this term and its definition will be included in JP 1-02;)"		COMMENT	COMMENT
Disagree that a responsive force be defined in nuclear terms only.	SEDCDEF preference to avoid the use of term "responsive force". Change reflects work of OSD working group on responsive capability.	Correctness.		Clarity. All types of weapons are operationally deployed.	Use approved NATO and Joint definition already in JP 1-02.	sequence, is capable of producing the intended nuclear reaction and release of energy."	RATIONALE	RATIONALE
A realizing that this is now referred to as a augmentation force per the above comment		A - see below	M - changed id operationally deployed nuclear weapon				DECISION	(A/R/M)

75

Joint Staff Input to JP 3-12. Doctrine for Joint Nuclear Operations (Second Draft)

(A/R/M)									
DECISION	RATIONALE	COMMENT	LINE	PARA	TYPE PAGE PARA LINE	TYPE	SOURCE	*	ITEM
	Jan)	John Statt High to Jr. 3-12, Doctrate for other tracted. Operations (Second Dia	זחתר נה זו	r Statt II	THOC				

0.0ITEN #	SOURCE J7	S	99.05	PARA	32	COMMENT Delete the definition of "weapons of mass destruction" from	It is never used in the	AVEANG
45	J7	S	99.05		32		It is never used in the body of the text.	Prof.
	STRATCOM M	***	3.07	ь	1.03	Change to read, "Support Coordination. Nuclear support is coordinated through geographic combatant commander and/or subordinate JFC channels. US Air Force or Navy delivery systems can provide nuclear support to Army or Marine Corps operations. Coordination with the Air Force component is through the air operations center by the collocated Army battlefield coordination element. Coordination with the Navy and Marine Corps components is through the naval and amphibious liaison element. Coordination with special operations forces is through the special operations liaison	This sentence was removed from the first draft, because it was considered useless information. The USA requested that it be reinserted in second draft comments. Their requests were rejected on the matrix. After speaking to	

consequences of restraint exceeding the negative Costs of escalation will be severe,

US can/will effectively deploy power

- will is strong US stake in conflict is high, political projection forces despite WMD use
- US can effectively protect its allies US can counter aggression across from attack the spectrum of conflict
- undermine US resolve WMD use will boister rather than

- escalation threat/use, and is willing to risk US will not be deterred by WMD
- effective population, and critical assets are US WMD defenses of its forces, Transfer of WMD to terrorists will
- be detected and attributed personal consequences WMD use will result in severe
- responsible in a timely way WMD use will be attributed to those
- They have something left to lose

Figure I-x Deterrence Challenges: What the opposing actor must believe