

Measuring the shifts for Russia

- ① US/Rus (CBM + SCBM);
- ② bomber + the SCBM
- ③ response force

Dependen -

Not identical - but where different, depends on US
 - EPC37; M48/5; 538;

Dependence is determined by US - vulnerability of solution.

System is eventually bilateral - NATO element not central

Dependen - uncertain - and see dependence
 - conclusion of dependence → UK political come
 → Traffic / N.S. security

No credible scenario for ind use - of course

- ~~no~~ name agreed + Iraq threat

There is a capability & integrate UK upon into US plans:

There may be a cap to produce overall ind plan.

Warhead are not integrated British;


Typo letter is not British; Com-

Future - MOA contract apply in future

- degree of dependence now cannot go above.

- future view of future US administration will

define UK role capability of the core



Trident Replacement

Aim:

- ① That the government decides not to replace trident
- ② To use the debate on replacement to increase awareness of nu + options to them.

Timeline

The decision will ~~be made~~ ~~probably~~ be made ~~in the~~ between 2005 and 2010; There are some indications that it could be made around 2008. ~~Ben~~ reports a report in the Independent, like a silent, that the decision had been taken has been denied + was probably incorrect. ~~It is possible that some more, to look at~~ A few more, to look at option, has already. At least a study has already been carried by the MOD; It is possible that ~~more~~ other more, look at replacement, will be made in the near future.

There is a need for wide consultation in order to develop the campaign in a way which is as effective as possible; There is also a need for some materials to build support on soon or possible.

2 second approach

- ③ To have a consultation process to refine a sophisticated campaign strategy. Consultation within SCAD - Committee; groups; members; ~~consultation~~ ^{liaison} with other Rn - Orgs - CND, LMOAP, BASIC, Campaign T.P. . . ; liaison with other socialist orgs - though SFP.
- Will provide more substantial support; more sophisticated strategy; + come up with ~~better~~ ideas.

② Product of materials to rain they aspects the
issue ~~in the past~~ ASP

- + A3 brief; A5 Capped; model letter:
- The aim of this material would be @ awareness raising
+ ~~part~~ ① Suggest initial work.

Points to be included in initial material →

Ash in deliver

~~That~~ A decision will be made on whether to regulate
trades.

Any replacement will cost a lot of money
There is no logical reason for B to have no.
NW are in MP ... (have case - possible)

Open poll showed 78% of SAs agreed.

Dependent on US - not independent

Suggested work

MPs ...

MSPs ...

lobb other org.

Independent work → into & In Kent!

If someone writes letter who should it be to?

→ MP.

→ Write only for interest →

on process - if decision taken

→ with view to further correspondence

Combine a Map / Iterate

→ @ Re orgs -

build up support (of heavy comb).

Mapping

Aspect to the Lab ones

US, Brit, US + NATO ~~Nuclear plan~~

Nuclear based plan

The nuclear war

(T 82)

Solomon
hardman

media

miss / ordys

System

Nuclear

Tyco - polid

Secrets of the Land

N in database

Nuclear Levels

Nuclear targeting Database

Rhh - into + delen + mit + etc.

- around D.

Simulate /
Real

Secrets reveal

Planning armageddon

Insight into what US, NATO + B
nuclear policy, targets + system

read
the etc

^{US, NATO + Brit}
insight into nuclear targets + system

deciphering the bomb

Nuclear Planning

Targets Trident

~~Trident~~ (H)

~~Targets Trident~~

Insights into US, ~~NATO~~ + British nuclear policy, plans + procedure

Trident the target.

Br + US nuclear planning

Nuclear Planning

Nuclear Weapons ~~Planning~~ ~~Targets~~ Planning
in Britain, NATO and the United States

plans → (a) procedure & target
(b) future system

In Oriskany, a number of computers
perform calculations to work out how to destroy
the nuclear weapons in the most efficient way possible.
This is one type of nuclear planning. In the
course of the RHP budget in Whitehall
officials develop options for the future of
British nuclear weapons. This is a second type of
nuclear planning. This report looks at both at
the detail of current targets, procedures and
at ~~future what are likely to be~~ future
alternatives.

~~With a decision on whether to replace Trident~~
The ~~main~~ intended focus is on British systems.
Nonetheless the RHP program is very closely
tied to that of the US - and ~~more~~ ~~information~~ is
available in Washington than in London. So
considerable space is given to analyzing US policy &
practice. But weapons are primarily designed to
NATO and so extend ~~for the sake~~ ~~of~~
of alliance systems is also outlined.

Fuba

US + NATO -

^{Add}

- DeBazgety → a number 1
- Basis of index
- offer of us
- 'Pol' - (1) Deb → mit
- (2) Otho - spent relation US + on

Nuclear Plans & Policies
NW P

Summary points

Dependence

Subsidies (costs + Res) (CP. - prohibit exp of TW)

Argued - Re CP/Res + General.

IT impact

Replacement

Common
 planing + price control / exp
 national
 sub/mult -
 policy / political

planing syst - US / N
 Tri
 NATO
 Planing M
 Nations

flex
 ethics
 cost

Tri
 Oth
 None

Ind / NATO / budget.

Dependence
Targeting policy

Meaning the shift from Review

Propose
 debate
 within
 US

Change in relations;

little change in scope; Policy system Overhead - more flexible.

Non-specific 'potential role' - NATO: US → UK.

Study + flexible plan - US / NATO / UK. ^{→ TRI Unit.} (CPI / RUS / CH)

'Sub-strab' → non-Res? ; Core yield / aiming;

- global states (US) → should be...
→ US / Res (can read it).

- trade or time in new designs →

→ Core yield / more aiming (→ RNEP).

* → developments may be technology + inhibit driving with
than policy / study driven; → (TOW) + innovation

→ drive for efficiency / effectiveness (→ flexibility).

- a must for distant ends.

Long to see with end of Cold War → ^{Latin's ends.}

Not - what kind of new world B need for future role
how what by -- -- to go as US opening

of US - provide;

B - → pol → in; RAR / RN.

W 76 - 0 - 51

Rep on US - history → :- follow US policy

Identify
mechanism /
gap in
logic
+ challenge
→ by NATO

Problems -

subject, target + audience

How to pull out key points for detailed analysis

How to use section on independence, debtors.

How to relate to Tordes verlanes.

In order to have a debate on where we are going, it is important to know where we are. With regard to British nuclear weapons, ~~the content~~ of security and secrecy is promoted for reasons of policy, security + politics.