

RUSI 6/7/05

Aden Cobbold -

'more on details of the land should  
not be made behind closed doors'

Ad Lygo -

Pol-Cle update based on 'More  
criteria' - run by MOO + was a

standby - May - 'little ~~contraction~~ <sup>question</sup>  
swap' derived by Br sweets for  
US system

→ ~~Def~~ <sup>question</sup> More criteria by May

→ ~~Pol-Cle~~ <sup>insisted on</sup> run criteria

Def should be sub based + must  
demonstrate the will to use it.

→ person you wish to lease must be  
clear that you will use it.

## Lawrence Freedman

30 yrs ago - study group at Chatham  
House on future of nuclear det.

Bernard Broder - ① Resolute power,  
a bomb → ② That is essential

→ any on that of det. - has to consider  
detailed power of states [ + other  
countries ]

Days (week) / hrs (days) / Subs?

late 70s - first vulnerability → how could you  
destroy US sites + only US regime would be to

attack cities (would result in retaliation against  
cities) → - but can be also attack;

+ also deterrence; Deal - end of nuclear  
strategy. Reagan was a closet  
abolitionist.

US move to 'just in case' + CP.

India general regime to 91 Gulf War - did  
fight the US without nu:

B - mobilization of B ~~was~~ new doctrine was  
political - had reason was US would  
abandon (Guantanamo) → 2nd sense of  
decision: how we would US would we  
nu → but if opposed to nuclear, didn't  
believe this + then 2nd sense -

What do we achieve - not just  
future conflict → know how + now  
→ stability as great power / relationship  
with US; (way to influence us  
policy → enter to us decision making)  
→ not a rationale that will lead to fight  
a war;

Def easier in practice than in theory  
- so long as there is a threat  
there is a risk that they might be  
used.

M Clark

Arguing circular; 1980, state arguments:  
'A reasonable minimum'

'modesty, replace a dominant TRI'  
- 5 fold;

Dinard → ① Existential nature of det:

There is an air effect, whether or depth

to a net; Based on bipolar situation:

② Suicide bombs could lead to provoke  
a nuclear response, + danger for  
loose nukes;

Rule of com del. → Korea, Bonn, Athens,  
Iraq; → will continue to be a  
factor for you to come;

CB -

Generally interpret → new blocks - ~~is~~ so  
equally likely then is a basic change  
what could last 30-40yr:

Am world future / +

the possible for control of New Reps

→ 10 yr to opt for optimum or  
perhaps Am control

→ the region leaders for major power

→ to say early on:

② Civil / mil distance;

③ Expend.

policy - little / often + early;

Always - state detail in lead word;

bean - county with M.D.

Need a combination some impact on  
for del / for policy.

Tru - Tru is a US system;  
? - Cost is an important factor -  
+ should be capped at level relative  
to that from Au/U, what we should  
pay as an insurance policy?  
- F - How do you judge value?  
- it's not worth a much as in 1980;

Don Pled - Dependence -

F - Pro objects to inter-dependence;  
Q of whether we need to be more tied up  
US;

C - Future Pro says could be more  
independent; } Don also thinks to be  
new rules system &  
value -

Paul Romad - DOLs

F - relation with Res - 90% - (this  
reduces program (New - layer).

F - when will you have to decide?

- a dec on TR! → platform

→ been coming:

C - default decision - if no dec by 2005



US/UK + R (Illegals - Can get intel)  
New York (45-65) (Caso @ US Sp)

Ambiguity has mutual relationship

- don't want have current problems:

CoS regard Mar 51

- The US is not an American carrier  
conceals and/or the coal of EU?  
come into SAC hegemony:

SAC - 'As is our position - was - just a hobby'  
- gratitude on margin:

'56 - just tangentially talks + projects

- SAC - understand; But he says and - connects:

→ Are we co-ordinated with or by

→ 'Solve together'

(Lead them - how was try - I will not work  
this)

Wita - would a good plan - 'reduced' (Ken  
Crown)

'64 - let us know - is it? is it?

would it function? - would it delta?

- Also may in Com can says ~~if~~ del

stand get out of it del,

Christen!

- B + M were about alliance with US  
→ as a condition come (like to US  
Aurora)

Bruno Tabin -

On - if B didn't have would be better  
→ also Fr;

B + Fr don't mean the same like  
they say 'ind'. Like the threat  
was same - the argument was pol  
→ today more int. arguments;

50% - main national wa of US + B;

- Nw don't influence Fr pol vote;

- eg UN by limit - not relevant;

Idea that Nw make you free;

Fr - pretty; B - 2nd center

- both applied to both countries

→ great 2nd center was Paris (less national)

Fr largely adopted idea for US + B;

\* - not certain US - but triggers a US  
response (- for the trouble a price  
ultimatum).



- F - 'Final Warning' - before unacceptable damage;
- All F systems - strategy:
    - not sub-sab; no-slab,
    - There now a readiness to consult with US + R + F in a probability line;
  - Conservative approach to R - last outcome of nuclear fundamentalism?
  - Situation now not war like; + legal contacts.
  - F/US convergence - eg at NPT, today - F now not a political asset.
  - NPT → bundle to enforce non-prol;
  - F - major threat - can obliterate / <sup>prevent</sup> <sub>public</sub> <sup>to</sup> <sub>exist</sub> <sup>or</sup> <sub>life</sub> -
  - regional threat - can hurt, not threaten
- course of events + center deals
- Finally may be 'too armistice'
- no role or ten - under clearly sp by state + leader careful not to imply they can be used against Am.

Fr & UK - 20% of eqm budget;

Future challenges -

Q Budget: 2007 - US pub - health priorities;

UK Br / Fr need consider the new possibilities;

Missile def - Fr participates in NATO wh/eqm;

Modernisation →

Fr have many small decisions to make;

- they don't make relation to US;

New missile will arrive before end of TR (

part BASIC - smaller <sup>new</sup> UK in TR) -

- don't accept 'mini-missile' beam;

2 new variant programs; I need '90'

Fr realized demand was no direct enough

→ more directly; poor initial work;

No new design - only adapt

- because of limits of signature.

Domestic - a of 'interdependence'.

Fr are concerned about NP).

TJ Gardner - Fr / UK

Brn - technical - 'glass ceiling' for

US/UK coop; hypothetical part of

UK/Br coop - eq here subs.

- only part of interest same.

W7-

Had to pore B / End A in front  
In Hoboda &

Her as advisory debate; what influence on  
deciding!

ORC - open, transparent, accountable decision  
making; Kaly with Ruzyn, BASIC, WADAP;

T-Trade

Should have non-party consensus

Lib dem pos - TRI is affordable & at  
concord level for managed deb; (Defends Democracy)

- Decision required - no-poll; Cost benefit  
analysis; periods by division; dec. approved  
by panel;

Why debate now! TRI (Agri) we could  
probably do quite & 2010 decision;

It is diff to forecast the future;

→ leave decision as late as possible;

Political baggage of this topic;

→ injury of Lib dems for hosts

→ + some input on Lib Dem:

→ potential difficulty of agreeing to  
be arbitrator.

→ defer.

Public Order

Wong Dillan - vito-ange  
M. Nam - vito-ange

Lab unstable for abroad to be  
of political work:

an - lack of enthusiasm for news:

- sp was conditional - work one issue;  
learned from 11 to 1950s/60s with range  
of possible options; or is 1970s - as  
'option or' mid-down;

Issues in debate -

Rationale is less developed than in past!  
→ affects space / alert / view

Independence; - cheaper but more developed

Evolution dinner - change look - ed

strong relationship could affect T.P.

Effect of an accident or ~~view~~ expansion etc.

Also - why now?

Julian Lewis

Can predict future news;

long term on news,

- am job is an invasion policy  
against an unpredictable threat.

Should we have more of other countries type

- 65% yes - not changed since led of  
cold war;



Julius (in '25) mind that this this  
is an impact + danger / platon  
→ Q Open & Pch; Scott Q  
→ Sys 3 → Sub genl app 602  
→ Sp for Pa code 568  
App - Opp <sup>na</sup> Nat Pich 786

til by 608 times

(Admit 600 - del → to dete)

then to debate readers to u

1) In what sense would a den  
to us or under u.m. n  
be morally justified?

C/S | A up then out rite  
of hay & u.

JL - 7 then see now on how to  
handle.

Income policy → controls

Market - Q on TR1 regulations  
→ how to keep market also going

JL - market close like with us:

TG = Injection of CBT

Incremental - see combobutment

Tin Klare

On the

No mit reibende für neu. n

~~NE~~ NL has no vote in meeting  
mit Objektiv;

Will to be a realistic scenario  
wie neu may have a vote.

Irrevocably → experts would be  
lost quickly;

58 TR1 05 in ~~the~~ bank pool;  
Capability issues -

① Cost - proof - public confidence  
with under capability - so long as affordable;  
prob c 10bn acceptable.

② NPT - Mit die, delay; transparency;

③ Independent - top + CR-UK only  
- No input for other than UK;



plath Opl  
 Land ~~for~~ AC R Sea

~~Sub~~

baled	Na	Na	Refund
missiles	"	"	TR
land plan	"	comes	see SSBN hybrid?
Syng structure	"	see	comes
CZ	"	"	"

Land - cost / cost of assets major factor;  
 hybrid we - multi role

Land - with prob Commission studies to  
 consider these:

Duncan Cannon

French can become enemies → of America (Iraq)

Nuclear surprise - Tr. Pak, SRF

Missile test - ballistic + cruise!

- we do not know what threat  
 will be.

In the a scenario we ought to be  
 based alone - require change in security with  
 which trade VAD for Energy

Dev needs to be inclusive + global;  
→ work is not on quotas;

Chris Maddox

Debt relief →

Freefall -

Minsk - G7 + G20 - lead / see / air

Ballota - air / see / lead;

Plumb - Air / see / lead.

Bass - RAR (Fossil / KAR (lead)

Air - control / control

Lead hand - don't back + go degree;

UK Trade RD → work now need

adjuster + is detailed study done;

Thatcher policy / system

+ option none than appear to public

— without assets etc.

Michael Cordner

Good world - introduce US; Europe etc;

don't control + R part; threat for

non-state etc;

Bad world → Nat countries on

issue; World: UK now deal per

with EU etc.

~~the~~ middle

Pushing of job debt &

Robust and debt in shorter + per

leg to middle

Does debt have a mid paper on  
or in future

I 'no mid paper' + to find  
for outside del budget.

+ sub-~~sub~~

Vol - grander / global income

+ calibrate to relatively with US;

US/UK relatively with fluctuate

Should UK adapt US and

ventures expand!

MoB will derive select the options

Main gate will be what style

control 2017-18.

Cost - del -

Next papers + issues on

Next paragraphs →

TJ New - on poly day in  
after US poly → doesn't allow

US poly, i.e. opening future dit:

Does come 'reverts'

Mitt - US + UK poly should in  
be no variant;

Robt → Asstute & TCA M-n

Chi needed - large no of TCA M-n G

spite with T-1;

Tim - TCA M-n not a starting point

& could be a vehicle to AAs

& putting new on TCA M in Africa

could be difficult.

M.C. -

T-1 - putting another missile in T-1 would

be difficult; US/UK would

diff - Richton - need show you how

for how we then gain a year or more.

MC - ABMs

Doc - would have developed enough to  
be an issue;

Dones (CP) - we face a choice -  
- this is being smothered by unrealistic  
Scenarios (under it).

FO - is relying on being try to  
debe these fears; not managed;  
- political costs of relying on it;  
(+ effect on non-polit)

(not annex)

TH - whether co-ord on next pol  
→ Cabinet Office to co-ordinate  
~~but~~ FO Syt - what deal with  
an cabinet etc + MOD + Treasury (what  
see a coord);

See written - for review on US sub-entities;

TAS is ready for semi (Arthur Keenan PC)  
How can some <sup>(39)</sup> states been news  
quickly + UK world's?

TM - any market can be  
'tailored', but implies volatility;  
→ no idea of any market other  
than T&I;

There is a huge gap betw  
delivered bond & being workable  
in system;

Richard Corbulo

Will take bond + that be  
to go on; Debate still has a  
long way to run; Range of options.  
How to retain capability?

Q of US collapse in AB or +  
own collapse in overs of ABR;

## Notes from conversations

Malcolm Avey - Wills to read;  
eg at Phil; but can't pay for  
with consultation;

Consideration of options not yet  
started; Subang/Deed, like - no head for cons  
& agree with;

Tim Gardner - lib been appeal  
- no decision needed till 2010;

Wrote lib's defense paper;  
Can't understand the need for  
debate now.

Ridout Cobble - no listening.

~~Probably intent to replace~~ Now to  
keep going, rather than whether to  
& if how to have a public debate;

Ex - no 2 surface officers -

re Nuclea Dept. Charge - Nettle Corp  
not very applied to the duty of officer  
on board.

→ + we do not regard as authentic;