

THE NUCLEAR - WINTER CONCEPT

VARIOUS ASPECTS WE CAN USE :

- (1) AS MERELY AN ADDITIONAL "THREAT" IN NUCLEAR WAR, A FURTHER "BAD EFFECT",
- (2) AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO HIGHLIGHT THE INCREDIBLE DESTRUCTIVE POTENTIAL OF EVEN ONE PARTICULAR NUCLEAR SYSTEM (EG. THE BRITISH TRIDENT ARMS ON CITY TARGETS),
- (3) TO REINFORCE AWARENESS OF PARADOXICAL FLAWS IN POTENTIALLY SUICIDAL STRATEGIES
- (4) TO CONFIRM THAT "GENOCIDE" IS NOT AN EXAGGERATED DESCRIPTION OF THE THREAT, BUT IN FACT POTENTIALLY AN UNDERSTATEMENT,
- (5) TO RAISE TO A HIGHER ORDER OF THREAT ALTOGETHER THE CONCEPT OF THE NUCLEAR ARMS RACE (ENDANGERING THE WHOLE SPECIES AND EVEN LIFE ON EARTH).

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING EACH ASPECT :

- (a) TYPE(S) OF PEOPLE POSSIBLY RECEPTIVE,
- (b) HOW EASILY THE ASPECT(S) CAN BE COMMUNICATED (DETAILED SOCIAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE USEFUL HERE.),
- (c) EMERGING RESPONSES TO THE CONCEPT BY THE MILITARY-INDUSTRIAL-POLITICAL COMPLEX
- (d) EFFECTS OF CONCEPT ON OUR OTHER ARGUMENTS FOR NUCLEAR DISARMAMENT.

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	ASPECTS OF THE "NUCLEAR - WINTER" CONCEPT FOR US TO USE				
	"AS A FURTHER NUCLEAR "BAD EFFECT"	REVEALING FULL EFFECT OF PARTICULAR WEAPONS	RIDICULING STRATEGIES	"CONFIRMING GENOCIDE ACCUSATION	POSING END OF SPECIES OR "LIFE
ARE (SOME) PEOPLE RECEPTIVE TO THIS ASPECT ?					
(HOW) CAN THIS ASPECT EASILY BE COMMUNICATED ?					
(HOW) WILL THE ESTABLISHMENT RESPOND TO THIS ASPECT ?					
(HOW) DOES THIS ASPECT AFFECT OUR OTHER ANTI-NUCLEAR ARGUMENTS ?					